

Are Liberals Actually Human

by BurtPrelutsky

Two questions that often plague me are what planet do liberals hail from, and why don't they go back?

For instance, Michael Moore, who looks more and more like Helen Thomas every day, along with many of his fellow leftists, has insisted that, morally, there was no difference between Osama bin Laden's killing 3,000 people on 9/11 and our killing him. Just how fatheaded do you have to be to even suggest such a thing?

But it's not uncommon for liberals to cite moral equivalence where there isn't any. They do it when it comes to equating cold-blooded murder of innocent people with the state's execution of the murderer; they do it in regards to the Middle East not only when they condemn Israel for defending itself against Arab and Muslim terrorists, but when they equate Christian martyrs with the blood-thirsty Egyptian mobs who burn down their churches and slaughter them in the streets.

The reaction to the killing of Osama bin Laden was interesting on many levels. To begin with, people argued whether the proper term was assassinate, kill, execute or even murder. I think the appropriate word was exterminate, which is generally the way we refer to rodent and pest control.

Then we had the problem inherent in Barack Obama, a liberal who not only opposes capital punishment, but who campaigned for terrorists to be tried like common criminals in civilian courts, serving as judge, jury and executioner, of a man who was never Mirandized, provided with an attorney or given the opportunity to face his accusers; and, for good measure, whose fate was Seal-ed without the okay of the U.N., the World Court or Rosie O'Donnell.

Can you imagine the stink that would have been raised by the MSM if George W. Bush had green-lighted the operation? At the very least, the environmentalists would have gone berserk, comparing the dumping of bin Laden's carcass to BP Oil's contamination of the ocean.

Finally, if any additional proof of the Left's intellectual dishonesty were needed, we have Nancy Pelosi stating, back in 2006, that, "Even if Osama bin Laden is caught tomorrow, it is five years too late...the damage he has done is done. And even to capture him now I don't think makes us any safer." But five years later, she has the gall to announce: "The death of Osama bin Laden marks the most significant development in our fight against Al-Qaida. I salute President Obama, his national security team, Director Panetta, our men and women in the intelligence community and military, and other nations who supported this effort for their leadership in achieving this major accomplishment. The death of Osama bin Laden is historic."

You would have thought Mrs. Pelosi might at least have squeezed George W. Bush in there at some point, perhaps in place of those anonymous "other nations." Come to think of it, which nations do you think she had in mind? Luxembourg? North Korea? Pakistan, perhaps?

But that's the sort of embarrassing thing that's bound to occur when, as is all too typical of liberal politicians, one values partisanship above principles, and make a practice of rewarding the vainglorious and the abysmally ignorant, people such as Mrs. Pelosi and Harry Reid, with leadership positions.

©2011 Burt Prelutsky. Comments? Write
Burt!

Get more Burt:
BurtPrelutsky.com
Get your
personally
autographed copy
of ***Liberals:
America's
Termites*** or
***Portraits of
Success*** for just
\$19.95, shipping
included. Get
both for just
\$39.90.

