

# **“Liberals & Locusts” and “Poll Results & Other Matters”**

You don't hear that much about locusts today. Not unless you read the Bible or know how the Mormons came to settle in Salt Lake City. But at one time, people lived pretty much in dread of what a swarm of them could do to farmland; namely, devour everything in sight, leaving devastation and famine in their wake.

These days, liberals do the same. The primary differences between the two groups is that, to their credit, locusts only showed up every seven years, and they concentrated on agriculture and didn't feel compelled to also leave an entire economy, a perfectly fine health care system and the U.S. Constitution, in tatters.

Anyone who pays the least bit of attention to those on the Left knows they lie, and that you can tell when they're lying because you can see their lips moving. But let us, for the sake of argument, pretend that they always tell the truth. In that spirit, let us accept that the IRS targeting of conservatives came as a big surprise to Barack Obama and Lois Lerner, and was in fact the doing of rogue IRS agents in Cincinnati.

Furthermore, let us accept that Barack Obama and Gen. Shinseki had no idea that V.A. administrators across the nation were cooking the records in order to, one, conceal the fact that veterans were dying while waiting to see doctors and, two, collect bonuses based on their presumed efficiency.

Let us even pretend that neither Barack Obama nor Hillary Clinton had the slightest inkling that for months Ambassador Chris Stevens had begged for additional security in Benghazi,

and had voiced alarm that known terrorists had rented the house next door to the consulate.

As I say, even if we accept these far-fetched claims as gospel, we are left with the indisputable fact that government has grown so huge and the various federal bureaucracies so bloated that nobody – least of all the gang of incompetents who infest the current administration – can stay on top of things.

Still, these are the people who keep insisting that they should control health care, which constitutes one-sixth of the national economy. These are, after all, the same schmucks who make a practice of blaming others when a couple of nonentities like Edward Snowden and Bradley Manning swipe our military and intelligence secrets; when the rollout of ObamaCare trips over its own feet; and when the IRS computers allegedly crash right on cue.

These numbskulls think they should be running your life, but in fact they could own the only ice plant during a heat wave and still fail to turn a profit.

Speaking of louts, the U.N. Human Rights Council voted 29-1 to condemn Israel for its response to the missile barrage from Gaza. The good news is that the lone Nay vote was cast by the U.S. The bad news is that even though Republicans have been presidents for 36 of the 66 years the U.N. has been in existence, not one of them, including Reagan, has tried to remove us from its membership rolls. Heck, not one of them has even questioned the fact that we pay 25% of its bills.

How corrupt is the U.N.? Well, Secretary General Ban ki-Moon is currently flying around on a jet supplied by Qatar, a Middle East nation that supports Hamas terrorism. Is it any wonder that the U.N. ignores the thousands of missiles Hamas fires into Israel, but wrings its collective hands and voices its moral outrage when Israel fights back? Topping it off, Mr.

ki-Moon voices shock – shock! – upon hearing that the terrorists have been storing missiles in a school run by the U.N. in Gaza. The missiles have since been moved, no doubt to an orphanage, a hospital or to the Secretary General's luggage.

Frankly, for the sake of diminishing Mr. ki-Moon's carbon footprint, I think he should spurn the jet and do all his future flying on a broomstick.

Lest you think that the Secretary General is alone in showing his bias towards Muslim jihadists, it was recently leaked that nearly a year ago Iraq asked that Obama employ drones to wipe out ISIS when the jihadists were still gathering in the desert, preparing to, but not yet fully engaged in creating a caliphate.

On second thought, maybe I was mistaken about the whereabouts of those missing missiles. Has anyone bothered to check out the White House basement?

---

## **POLL RESULTS & OTHER MATTERS**

When Italian composer and violin virtuoso Niccolò Paganini (1784-1840) performed on the concert stage, people were so unprepared to hear the half-notes and exotic harmonics at which he excelled, they often assumed that he had sold his soul to the Devil. When I see what Obama is doing day after day to destroy America and the Constitution, I find myself thinking the same thing about him.

It's not often we get to see in a Democratic administration what we can probably expect from a Republican one. In this case, I'm referring to Obama's foreign policy, which seems to reflect what Rand Paul would do if he were in the Oval Office.

Sen. Paul might balk at being compared to the man he would like to replace, but so far as I can tell he is an isolationist who subscribes to the belief that we should not concern ourselves unduly with what Russia, North Korea, China or Islam, are up to until the day comes when, say, Kentucky is invaded or Louisville is nuked.

An oddity of the current administration is that they are constantly prattling on about the evils of income inequality, and yet Obama is forever going hat-in-hand to beg wealthy liberals to kick in \$30,000 for a fund-raising dinner. Even more telling is the fact that whereas the average CEO in 2009 was making roughly 181 times as much as the average employee at his company, in 2014, the differential had increased to 270 times as much.

Call me a skeptic, but surely I'm not the only person who has begun asking how all those impoverished Central Americans were able to pony up \$8,000 to pay a coyote to bring their kid to the Texas border. I don't know very many people here in the States that could manage to come up with that much cash, but we're expected to believe that thousands of Hondurans, Guatemalans and Salvadorans, managed it. If you're one of those who believe it, once you're done clapping in order to keep Tinkerbell alive, you're invited to pull my other finger.

Doesn't it seem far more likely that the Democrats somehow funded the exodus so that they could use the border crisis to push for so-called comprehensive immigration legislation?

It occurs to me that in no other business would Fox have no competition in the cable news business. I'm not referring to liberal competitors like CNN and MSNBC, but to a rival conservative network. When wealthy conservatives see how much money Rupert Murdoch is raking in, what's keeping them from launching their own cable networks?

According to Roger Ailes, it only cost Murdoch \$100 million to

start up Fox; that's a drop in the bucket for the likes of Sheldon Adelson, Donald Trump and the Koch brothers. Hell, Tom Steyer, the billionaire airhead, has pledged \$100 million to help elect Democrats who merely have to pretend they share his childlike belief in the fairytale known as global warming.

Speaking for myself, I would be ready and eager to welcome a conservative network where I didn't have to waste precious time every day listening to the likes of Alan Colmes, Bob Beckel, Geraldo Rivera, Kirsten Powers and Juan Williams.

In short, why would wealthy Republicans prefer whining about the media stifling the conservative message instead of producing megaphones of their own?

Finally, the moment you've all been waiting for: the results of my recent poll dealing with the three best and the three worst presidents since 1945.

Considering my readership, it's probably not too shocking that Reagan is the only one of the dozen presidents not to be mentioned as among the worst on even a single one of the 324 ballots cast. As you would imagine, he was the only one of the dozen to be mentioned among the Best on every ballot. Conversely, Barack Obama was left off only one of the ballots in the competition to determine the Worst.

You may notice that the votes in the two categories don't always add up to 324. That's because in some cases, people only voted for one or two presidents in each category. In many cases, people simply voted for Reagan or Obama and left off all the others. Personally, I was surprised that Nixon received so many votes for both Best and Worst, but he was probably the most controversial president back in the day, and apparently he remains so 40 years later.

The first number indicates the total votes each president received. The following numbers indicate first, second and third place votes.

## **THE WORST PRESIDENT SINCE THE END OF WWII**

Obama (323; 315; 8; 0)  
Carter (257; 2; 198; 57)  
LBJ (196; 6; 51; 139)  
Clinton (55; 0; 12; 43)  
Nixon (23; 0; 3; 20)  
George W. Bush (10; 0; 3; 7)  
Ford (9; 0; 0; 9)  
George H.W. Bush (4; 0; 0; 4)  
JFK (3; 0; 0; 3)  
Eisenhower (2; 0; 0; 2)  
Truman (2; 0; 0; 2)

## **THE BEST PRESIDENT SINCE THE END OF WORLD WAR 11**

Reagan (195; 186; 6; 3)  
Eisenhower (97; 6; 63; 28)  
Truman (79; 9; 40; 30)  
Nixon (17; 1; 5; 11)  
George W. Bush (15; 0; 6; 9)  
JFK (12; 0; 2; 10)  
Ford (9; 0; 0; 9)  
George H.W. Bush (4; 0; 1; 3)  
Clinton (1; 0; 1; 0)

I'm sorry I couldn't thank each of you personally for taking part in the poll, but I do appreciate it. And, best of all, there are now 324 people who can never again insist that because they've never been polled, it proves that polls must all be phony.

**Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.  
Tune in at [K4HD.com](http://K4HD.com) His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443**

©2014 [Burt Prelutsky](http://BurtPrelutsky.com). Comments? Write [BurtPrelutsky@aol.com](mailto:BurtPrelutsky@aol.com).

---

# Election, 2016

When it comes to the possible GOP presidential candidate three years hence, the names we hear most often are Rand Paul, Ted Cruz, Marco Rubio and Chris Christie. For some reason, possibly because he was part of a losing ticket in 2012, you don't hear much about my personal favorite, Paul Ryan. What I fear most is that whoever emerges victorious from the bloodbath known as Republican primaries will resemble the survivor of a train wreck after all the other contenders have had at him with brickbats, blackjacks and broken bottles.

In the meantime, unless some catastrophic medical issue, such as a burst appendix or an inflamed ego, sidelines her, the other side will try to finish off Obama's eight year attempt to radically transform America by lumbering us with eight years of Hillary Clinton. Although, based on her own words ("I'm not going to have some reporters pawing through our papers. We are the president.") I don't think she has the constitutional right to serve a third term, but, apparently, in light of Obama's election and re-election, the Constitution no longer applies when it comes to Democratic candidates.

In light of the fact that Mrs. Clinton stands an even better chance of being the candidate in 2016 than she had in 2008, it bears being reminded of who it really is that lurks behind the endless array of pantsuits and frozen smiles.

To me, she has always resembled a Gorgon. Those were the three sisters, Medusa, Stheno and Euryale, in Greek mythology who had snakes for hair, and if you looked into their eyes, you were turned to stone. And never has she been more Gorgon-like than when she appeared before the congressional committee earlier this year and, referencing the murders of Ambassador Chris Stevens and his three courageous associates, attempted to display moral outrage by growling: "At this late date, what difference does it really make who killed them?"

But that was merely the latest of her unfortunate, but revealing, remarks. She also said: "It is a violation of human rights when babies are denied food, or drowned, or suffocated, or had their spines broken, simply because they are born girls." How odd that in attacking China's despicable birth policy, she was unaware that by extension she was attacking Planned Parenthood's anti-birth policy.

Other reminders that she was an acolyte of Saul Alinsky can be found in the following Clintonisms: "We're going to take things away from you on behalf of the common good." "It's time for a new beginning, for an end to government of the few, by the few, and to replace it with shared responsibility for shared prosperity." "We can't just let business go on as usual, and that means something has to be taken away from some people." "We have to build a political consensus, and that requires people to give up a little bit of their own in order to create this common ground." "I certainly think the free market has failed."

But the arrogant disregard for the values that shaped America did not begin or end with her husband's election in 1992. As a 27-year-old, Hillary Rodman was fired from the Watergate investigation by Jerry Zeifman, a lifelong Democrat who supervised her work with the House Judiciary Committee. When asked why he gave her the boot, Zeifman said: "Because she's a liar. She's an unethical, dishonest lawyer. She conspired to violate the Constitution, the rules of the House, the rules of the committee and the rules of confidentiality."

In addition, Hillary wrote a brief "that was so fraudulent and ridiculous," Zeifman was convinced she would have been disbarred if she had submitted it to a judge. Imagine, Chelsea could have wound up with two disbarred lawyers sharing her Thanksgiving turkey.

It probably comes as no big surprise that a few years earlier, young Hillary Rodham decided to spend a summer interning for

the Oakland law firm known as Treuhaft, Walker & Bernstein, even though its offices were 2,500 miles from Yale. Do you think the attraction might have been the fact that two of the partners were or had been members of the Communist Party, and the client rolls included a number of their fellow party members, along with draft resisters and, naturally, this being Oakland in the 70s, the Black Panther Party?

One can only assume there hadn't been an opening that summer at Hillary's first choice: the law firm of Dewey, Cheatum & Howe.

©2013 [Burt Prelutsky](#). Comments? Write [BurtPrelutsky@aol.com](mailto:BurtPrelutsky@aol.com).