

Hard Questions and Easy Answers

I realize that those on the Left hold anyone who questions where Barack Obama was born in contempt. They even came up with a silly term, Birthers, for those who aren't satisfied that a president who continues to keep documents related to his college applications and grades under lock and key is being entirely forthright.

But I want to start a movement to find any female who ever dated Obama prior to his marrying Michele when he was 30. We know he smoked weed and sought out commies to associate with in school because he said as much in his memoirs. But how is it that not a single woman has stepped forward to claim she dated him in high school or college, even though she would achieve instant celebrity and millions of dollars in book deals and TV appearances? If the liberals wish to call me a Dater, I'm okay with it. They've called me far worse.

I'm just saying it seems more and more likely that the union of Barack and Michelle was not a marriage made in heaven, but, considering the way that blacks feel about gays, one politically arranged in the backroom of Jeremiah Wright's Trinity Union Church.

Next, I am wondering if I'm the only person who can't stand listening to Martin Luther King's "I Have a Dream" speech. I liked what he had to say, I just could never stand the phony-sounding way he said it. But for all I know, I wouldn't have liked listening to Abe Lincoln delivering the Gettysburg Address.

The latest unemployment statistics showed a dip from 7.4% to 7.3%. My question is why do they continue reporting that number as if it means anything? When 500,000 people stop

looking for jobs in a single month and are therefore removed from the nose count, why don't they simply report that, in spite of increased population, we have never had such a low percentage of adults drawing a paycheck? One can imagine that the way the Obama economy is headed, we might reach the point where both the unemployment rate and the employment rate wind up at 0%.

How is it that everyone else is supposed to retire at 65, but politicians and Supreme Court justices get to go on and on, long past their shelf life?

Consider Ruth Bader Ginsburg, who is 80 and has been on the Court for 20 years. Just recently, after performing a marriage service for a couple of lesbians, she reported that "same-sex marriages prove the genius of the Constitution."

This is the same Constitution about which she said not too long ago she wouldn't advise an emerging nation to adopt as its own.

So, just as it took her husband's presidential nomination to finally make Mrs. Obama proud of America, it took institutionalizing homosexual marriages to get this former counsel of the ACLU to say something nice about our most sacred document.

Keep in mind this is someone who owes her judicial career to Jimmy Carter, who appointed her to the U.S. Court of Appeals; to Janet Reno, who recommended her to Bill Clinton; and to Clinton, who appointed her to the Supreme Court. All things considered, it shouldn't be too surprising that she's been on the wrong side of virtually every decision the Court has made over the past two decades. America would have been better served if, instead, Judge Judy had been appointed.

Interestingly, during her 13 years on the Court of Appeals, District of Columbia, she had occasion to oversee the hiring of 57 clerks, interns and secretaries, and nary a one was a

black. In Washington, D.C.?!

And yet, Ginsburg, is widely known as an advocate for “disparate-impact statistics as evidence of intentional discrimination.” In plain English, that means that even if a city or state doesn’t have an official policy of racial discrimination, if 30% of the population happens to be black or 50% of the population are women, but only 10% of the firemen or cops are black or female, that is proof of discrimination, and something had better be done about it. Or else! And yet she was 0-57. Even the Houston Astros have a higher batting average than that.

But liberals never feel they have to put their convictions where their mouths are. So it is that although, with a single voice, they call for higher taxes, they pay as little as possible, and on occasion – Charley Rangel and Tim Geithner come to mind – pay nothing at all, or call for the end of the Patriot Act and the closing of Gitmo, unless, of course, one of their own has his feet on the desk in the Oval Office.

©2013 Burt Prelutsky. Comments? Write BurtPrelutsky@aol.com.