

# Scofflaws, Ne'er-Do-Wells & Liberals

I don't think it's coincidental that the Palestinians and Obama both use children as props. Because they both know that most normal human beings have a soft spot for kids, they don't hesitate to use them as propaganda tools. In the case of Arabs and Muslims, they place them in harm's way so that they can then carry their mangled little bodies through the streets in a perverted attempt to make their side appear to command the moral high ground.

Because Israelis know that the world's left-wing, anti-Semitic, press opposes their nation's very existence, they try to avoid collateral damage when striking back at those who are constantly firing missiles at them. They even call ahead to warn civilians to avoid certain places being targeted. It is at that point, that, more often than not, the Palestinians round up children and place them on those rooftops. I suppose that's why it finally dawned on me why Islamics don't eat pork: professional courtesy.

As usual, Obama, Biden and their trained monkeys in the Senate, called for restraint on the part of Israel, something they never request of Israel's enemies in the Middle East. Frankly, inasmuch as Israel has a nuclear arsenal, I would say that every day that passes without their nuking Gaza is an example of unparalleled restraint.

Recently, we have seen how Obama has gone about using Central American children as a way to coerce the passage of legislation that he hasn't been able to create with his pen and his phone. He entices thousands of children to come north, and when they arrive Obama labels it a humanitarian crisis and insists that Congress fork over four billion dollars to deal with the self-inflicted problem.

But it's not for our government to roll out the red carpet for everyone who thinks they'd like to live here. On the other hand, Malia and Sasha are getting to be big girls, and we all know how parents sometimes suffer from the empty nest syndrome. So if Barack and Michelle decide they'd like to adopt 65,000 kids, it's fine with me. But I don't think those kids have any more right to show up, expecting to be clothed, fed and sheltered, than I would have the right to, say, sleep in the Lincoln Bedroom if I dropped by the White House uninvited.

Something that bugs me no end about the pro-illegal alien side of the debate is that they choose to ignore the fact that nobody else in America is entitled to benefit from the commission of a crime. So how is it that if the parents snuck into the country and therefore are not really "subject to the jurisdiction thereof," their offspring are magically bestowed with the benefits of citizenship? Just because the kids didn't personally sneak in doesn't change a darn thing. After all, if the parents had knocked over a bank, their kids wouldn't somehow be entitled to the loot.

Speaking of invasions, twice in the distant past, Europe has had to fight off Muslim armies. It happened in 732, at the Battle of Tours, and again in 1683, at the Battle of Vienna. Clearly, in the intervening 431 years, the followers of Islam have wised up, which is why just about every nation on the continent is infested with millions of them, with not a shot having been fired. God knows that Islam hasn't changed for the better over the centuries. It's Europe that's changed for the worse.

But, for that matter, so have we. Walter Williams quoted James Madison in a recent piece, reminding us that the father of the Constitution once pointed out that "Charity is no part of the legislative duty of the government." And that holds true whether the charity we're referring to is welfare for the individual or group, farm subsidies, corporate bailouts or

foreign aid. And anyone who tells you anything different is simultaneously trying to pick your pocket and trash the Constitution.

If I didn't hate him so much, I might even feel sorry for Obama. Imagine being the president during an election year, and not one of your party's candidates wants to be seen sharing a hamburger, let alone a stage, with you. Even the nuclear fallout at Chernobyl in 1997 wasn't as toxic as this guy. But, then, when you think about all the rats running around in this administration, the big surprise is that Washington, D.C., hasn't yet experienced an outbreak of bubonic plague.

I know that Costco had a change of heart about pulling Dinesh D'Souza's book, "America: Imagine the World without Her," off its shelves. But the turnaround only happened because so many people raised a hue and cry about it. However, the fact remains that its co-founder, and still an influential voice in the company, James Sinegal, is a major contributor to Obama and the Democrats and got to address the Democratic convention in 2012. Therefore, is it asking too much of conservatives that they avoid shopping there, and that at least in this one small way display their annoyance with liberals who take their hard-earned money and hand it over to those who despise them and oppose every single thing conservatives hold dear?

In other news from the wacky world of liberalism, the madcap ladies of NOW have placed the Little Sisters of the Poor on their list of the Dirty 100 because of the Sisters' resistance to the abortion-causing contraceptives offered by ObamaCare. Well, it just so happens that I keep a nasty little list of my own, and NOW is very near the top of it.

Finally, a reader of mine, Roy Bahr, wrote to suggest that we bring Edward Snowden back to the U.S. and have him recover Lois Lerner's lost emails.

Maybe I'm turning into a softy in my old age, but in exchange for that, I just might offer the arrogant schmuck immunity.

**Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.**

**Tune in at [K4HD.com](http://K4HD.com) His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443**

©2014 [Burt Prelutsky](http://BurtPrelutsky.com). Comments? Write [BurtPrelutsky@aol.com](mailto:BurtPrelutsky@aol.com).

---

## My Readers Chime In

As many of you already know, I refuse to access links or watch videos on my computer. I have tried to get the word out that although I appreciate my readers thinking of me, I don't care to spend my time that way.

Recently, I spelled it out for one of them: "Years ago," I wrote, "when I was still reviewing movies, the pornographic "I Am Curious Yellow" was the talk of the nation, but I decided I didn't wish to see it. At about the same time, because I was writing for Los Angeles magazine, I was offered tickets to the scandalous stage show, "Oh, Calcutta!" which I also decided to skip. I simply made up my mind that it wouldn't kill me to be the only person at a cocktail party who couldn't offer an opinion.

"In the 50 years since then, I have lived my life accordingly, and I've had no regrets. So if I miss out on something terrific because I don't wish to deal with an endless supply of links and videos floating out there in cyberspace, I know that I will somehow manage to survive."

Another reader, Marty Robinson, responding to my contention that a four year college degree is essentially a large and expensive waste of time because most jobs can be mastered in a

matter of months, if not weeks, wrote, "While a friend of mine studied 'communications' at the University of Illinois, I took a 13-week course at Midwestern Broadcasting School. I got a job immediately upon graduation, so that I had four years of experience and was making a good salary when he started looking for his first job."

Mac, a reader in Arizona, in referring to something negative I had written about legalizing marijuana, wrote that "The purpose of pot is to dull the senseless," a line, I contend, that rivals anything Oscar Wilde ever wrote.

Although I could keep quoting my brilliant readers, I shouldn't make them do all the work. So let me state for the record that every time I see Juan Williams mugging and rolling his eyes whenever Steve Hayes, George Will, Bret Baier or Charles Krauthammer, points out yet another misstep by Obama and his acolytes, Juan should understand that Fox viewers are not sitting home, nodding in agreement with the only person on the network even dumber than Bob Beckel. I dare him to roll his eyes when the Prince of Fox, Bill O'Reilly, is pontificating. Juan would wake up in Newark and never know what hit him.

To those who insist that Putin was within his right to annex Crimea because so many of its citizens speak Russian, while choosing to ignore the fact that Hitler used a similar rationale when he annexed Danzig and Czechoslovakia in the 1930s, I wonder what they would say if Mexico decided to invade Texas, Arizona and California, because so many of their residents se habla Espanol.

Someone else that the pinheads continue to laud is the self-righteous traitor, Edward Snowden, ignoring the fact that this defender of our Constitutional rights first ran off to China and then sought refuge in Putin's Russia, twin paragons of liberty and human rights. If he's looking for a catchy moniker, I'd suggest "Moscow Rose."

In response to homosexuals who insist that their right to commit bizarre sex acts trumps the rights of others, and who seek out Christian bakers, florists and photographers, and insist they provide their services in celebration of same-sex marriage ceremonies, Alex Torres, a William F. Buckley Fellow at the National Review, carried out a dandy little experiment.

Mr. Torres contacted a large number of New York City bakeries, asking if they would bake a cake featuring a swastika. Not too surprisingly, none of them would. That doesn't mean they'd refuse to sell cakes or donuts to a neo-Nazi out of uniform who walked in off the street. But they felt they had the right not to comply with a request that they do something they sincerely regarded as odious. And you can bet the ranch that no homosexual would take them to task for refusing to do so, although there is no law that prevents knuckle-draggers from being Nazis.

And yet gays insist that they suffer a psychic toll every time someone declines to make a buck by taking part in a ceremony that offends their religious convictions, a toll comparable, to hear them tell it, to the radio announcer who watched the Hindenburg go up in flames: "Oh, the humanity!"

Finally, it seems that the five states that boast the greatest gap between rich and poor are California, New York, New Jersey, Michigan and Illinois, all states that went solidly for Obama in 2008 and 2012.

It suggests that either income inequality isn't as big a deal as the liberals keep insisting it is or that, like long term unemployment and the treasonous wars Obama and the EPA continue to wage against the coal and oil industries, the Democrats have typically found a way to use them to their political advantage.

**Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.**

**Tune in at [K4HD.com](http://K4HD.com) His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443**

## Obama & Other Horror Stories

It occurred to me the other day that people are confined to mental institutions when they're found to constitute a danger to themselves or others. So, why is it that Obama is still running around loose?

I also found myself thinking how far this nation has declined since the time of the Founding Fathers, while at the same time acknowledging that this seems to be the natural order of things. Historically, the patriarch of a family, through invention or industry, accumulates a great fortune. But, eventually, he dies, leaving his wealth to be dispersed to future generations. In time, the family deteriorates into a collection of floozies, playboys, drunks, addicts and, inevitably, politicians.

Judge Andrew Napolitano, who is presented as the final word in matters of jurisprudence by Fox News in spite of his conviction that 9/11 was an inside job pulled off by the Bush administration, recently announced that Edward Snowden performed a heroic act. I suppose if by heroism, one means being guilty of espionage and treason, he may have a point. But before I'd start heralding Snowden for his patriotism, I think I'd ask myself how it is that this schmuck immediately scampered off and sought refuge in such bastions of freedom and liberty as China and Russia.

Speaking of Russia, Vladimir Putin said "Dealing with Snowden is like shearing a piglet – too much squealing, too little wool." I'm willing to grant that his words may have lost something in translation. Or it may have been one of those old

folk sayings that only Russians can fully grasp. But it is just possible that assuming pigs to be the source of wool might help explain the economic woes that have bedeviled Russia down through the ages.

In related news, a poll showed that 22% of the American public agrees that Snowden is a hero. But before Napolitano takes any small comfort in that, it should be pointed out that 19% think that Obama was right in not sending military assistance to Ambassador Stevens and his three valiant cohorts in Benghazi. It's always worth being reminded that 10% of Americans are convinced that Elvis is alive and working at a 7-11 in Nashville.

Possibly the worst result of the latest attempt to pretend that amnesty isn't really amnesty is that Marco Rubio has blown his chances at garnering the GOP nomination in 2016. It just goes to prove once again that young men should never join gangs, even those with only seven other members.

There are other drawbacks to the immigration bill. One of them is that when it comes to the border, Janet Napolitano has the final word as to when it's secure. Inasmuch as she has already claimed that the border is safer than it's ever been, the 15 Republican senators who fell for Chuck Schumer's lies should be forced to take a remedial history class and read how Ronald Reagan was bamboozled by the Democrats back in 1986.

What the heck is it about people named Napolitano? Surely they can't all be gibbering idiots.

Another drawback to a bill that carries the stench of sulfur is that it is jam-packed with pork, which I seem to recall Congress promising would never again be the case. Some of the pork involves funding to the tune of \$100 million a PR department of the federal government that promotes tourism in certain locales. In this case, it's Las Vegas that would be the beneficiary. Although Harry Reid needed no convincing,

that was enough to garner Republican Sen. Dean Heller's vote.

Forget the so-called military-industrial complex. At least those folks have to deal with all sorts of conflicting entities in order to have influence in Congress. And for all its alleged power, in recent years we have seen huge cuts in the Pentagon's budget. But with two lap dog U.S. senators at their constant beck-and-call, nobody dares mess with Vegas casino owners like Steve Wynn and Sheldon Adelson.

I had high hopes for the Supreme Court when they freed several states from the odious necessity of asking permission of Eric Holder's Justice Department before changing their voting laws. Texas, for example, required Holder's okay in order to require voters to provide photo IDs before casting ballots. If you listened to the miserable likes of Jesse Jackson, Al Sharpton and Ruth Bader Ginsburg, accusing justices Roberts, Kennedy, Scalia, Thomas and Alito, of promoting racism, you might not be aware that in several of the states affected, black voters already out-number whites.

You would think that every American would be in favor of the government's doing everything in its power to ensure fair elections, but that would necessitate overlooking the fact that as far back as 1960, it was widespread fraud in Illinois and Texas that enabled Democrats JFK and LBJ to eke out a 114,000-vote victory over Richard Nixon and Henry Cabot Lodge. Liberals would have you believe that requiring photo IDs would prevent millions of blacks and Hispanics from voting, but they never bother explaining how it is that these same folks manage to come up with the IDs when boarding a plane, buying a 6-pack or attending an Obama event.

But no sooner was I celebrating the Court than they nullified a vote by millions of Californians and ruled that same-sex marriages are not a bad joke, after all, but a civil right.

If it's a right, why is it that so few homosexuals have taken

advantage of it? After all, it's been legal for some years in several states and Washington, D.C., but only a handful of such marriages have taken place. I mean, when the 19th Amendment was passed, millions of women ran out and voted. When the Civil Rights bill was passed, millions of blacks started voting, eating at lunch counters and sitting wherever they liked on buses. The fact that very few Adams and Steves have tied the knot strongly suggests that the issue is little more than an excuse to bully gutless judges and politicians into cowering and caving.

Gays like to say that if you disagree with their agendas, it's because you hate them. They even go so far as to describe it as a phobia, although I personally don't know anyone who has an unnatural fear of homosexuals.

What they don't get is that just because you don't pander to a child – don't let him eat a quart of ice cream for dinner, for instance – doesn't mean you hate the kid. It just means you don't let him have his way just because he cries and whines and makes a fuss.

It all comes down to your being the adult and his being a brat.

©2013 [Burt Prelutsky](#). Comments? Write [BurtPrelutsky@aol.com](mailto:BurtPrelutsky@aol.com).