

The Vindication of 'Drill, Baby, Drill'



Back in 2008, Americans began hearing the phrase “Drill, baby, drill” thrown around in Republican circles. It was a simple message that proposed the simple solution of increased domestic drilling to deal with some of our nation’s energy problems, like high gas prices and our reliance on foreign oil.

Michael Steele, who was the RNC Chairman at the time, proudly spoke the motto to an exuberant crowd during his speech at the Republican National Convention. He also penned an op-ed for the *Washington Times*, expanding on his position.

“Most have come to understand that if we don’t act this energy crisis will profoundly alter our way of life, as the cost per barrel eats into our ability to save and invest,” Steele wrote. “America must prevent a short-term crisis from becoming a long-term tragedy. We’ve allowed 30 years of our shortsightedness on energy security to catch us once again. It’s time to take the initial steps to open new areas for development and to expand America’s domestic production. It’s time to drill, baby, drill. And drill now.”

Democrats largely mocked the idea, insisting that more drilling in America would have essentially no effect on the price of oil. Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi and her majority of House Democrats wouldn’t even allow a debate on the topic, and Joe Biden, in his vice presidential debate with Sarah Palin, said that “it will take ten years for one drop of

oil to come out of any of the wells that are going to be drilled.”

Palin defended her support for increased domestic drilling that night, and continued to promote the “Drill, baby, drill” mantra long after her presidential ticket lost the election. She took a lot of heat from the media for it.

In 2011, renewable energy expert Eric Wesoff, in a piece for Greentech Media ridiculed Palin’s stance, writing that “no amount of increase in U.S. production will influence oil prices given the relative size of our oil reserves.” It was the conventional wisdom held by the American Left, and more importantly President Obama.

During his re-election campaign in 2012, the president said in his weekly address to the nation that “we can’t just drill our way to lower gas prices.”

A couple of weeks before that, he outright mocked the notion of domestic drilling bringing down the price of gasoline: “Now, some politicians always see this as a political opportunity. And since it’s an election year, they’re already dusting off their three-point plans for \$2 gas. I’ll save you the suspense: Step one is drill, step two is drill, and step three is keep drilling.”

He made the same types of jokes in front of audiences, telling a group in Washington, “You remember that? Drill, baby, drill. We were going through all that. And none of it was really going to do anything to solve the problem.”

As we all know, \$2 gas is *exactly* what Americans are now enjoying. What a lot of people don’t know, however, is that we’re enjoying it thanks to precisely what the Democratic Party had been telling us for the past 6 years (and really long before that) wouldn’t make one bit of difference to the price of gasoline: Increased domestic drilling.

Increased domestic drilling has led to a record supply of domestic crude, and the United States is now the world's largest combined producer of oil and natural gas. The process of "fracking" (which has been thoroughly vilified by liberals including many Democratic politicians) has been driving the momentum, and the drop in the price of gas has put an estimated \$100 billion into the pockets of U.S. consumers.

Ironically, the person benefiting the most politically from \$2 gas is President Obama. His approval ratings are up, and to the surprise of few, he's been out on the trail actually taking credit for the country's new energy status. He recently told a crowd in Michigan, "America is the number one producer of oil, number one producer of gas. It's helping to save drivers \$1.10 a gallon at the pump over this time last year."

Yay, President Obama! The only problem is that the president has had little if nothing to do with it. You see, his anti-drilling comments and vocal vilification of the oil industry over the years haven't been just empty rhetoric. They've reflected his actual policies and priorities. Oil production on federal land – where the president actually has control – has *not* gone up. In fact, it's fallen 6 percent since 2009 when he took office. On the other hand, production on private land – where the president *doesn't* have control – has *increased* by a whopping 61 percent.

The dirty little secret is that where government has failed to help the middle class, the private sector has succeeded. The oil industry has played a huge role in slowly rebuilding our economy since the Great Recession, leading the way in growth, jobs, and now cheap gas – all while being cast as an enemy by the Obama administration and politicians from his side of the aisle. This country still has plenty of economic problems, but we'd have far more if it wasn't for domestic drilling.

Ironically, it's also the oil industry (and the solution the president mocked and adamantly opposed) that has revived the

president's approval ratings, because people tend to reward positive things for the country to whoever happens to be sitting in the Oval Office.

The truth is that "Drill, baby, drill" was *exactly* the prescription this country needed in 2008 to help repair our broken economy, and if our government had gotten on board instead of standing in the way, just imagine how much faster of a recovery we would have experienced.

New Year's Sale: If anyone is interested in a signed, personalized copy of my novel "From a Dead Sleep" for \$18, which includes domestic shipping, please email me at johndalybooks@hotmail.com. It also makes a great gift!

Obama's Mantra: Don't Blame Me

You have to feel sorry for President Obama. He gets such a bad rap.

Gas prices are high and going higher and despite what you hear from his enemies, it's not his fault.

We're in the midst of the weakest post-recession recovery since before World War II, and again, despite what his mindless detractors tell you, the lackluster economy nearly four years into his term isn't his fault either.

Now we learn that the 2010 shellacking the Democrats took in Congressional races – the one where they lost the House and every dope thought it was because the voters were fed up with the president and his party's runaway spending – well, we now

learn that we were wrong, that that wasn't his fault either.

You know whose fault it was? Take a guess. If you said Fox News, pat yourself on the back.

According to a new book called *Showdown*, by Mother Jones journalist David Corn, President Obama told union officials in 2010 that he was "losing white males" because a lot of them watch Fox News and "hear Obama is a Muslim 24/7 and it begins to seep in."

There's just one problem with the president's analysis. It isn't true.

After quoting from *Showdown* on his newscast, Fox News anchor Bret Baier said, "For the record, we found no examples of a host saying President Obama is a Muslim."

So let's review: High gas prices have absolutely nothing to do with President Obama's war on coal, or his veto of the Keystone HL pipeline, or his decision to shut down drilling in the Gulf of Mexico, for a very long time, after the BP disaster, or his opposition to drilling in Alaska, or his war on fracking, to name just a few things that aren't his fault.

The weak economy? Two words: Bush's fault.

And now that perennial Obama White House villain pops up once more, Fox News, accused of doing something it didn't do.

For much of his life President Obama has been showered in praise. For much of his life he's been told that he's the smartest guy in the room. In school, kids were drawn to him because he was cool. When he got older journalists slobbered all over him for the same reason ... and also because he was young, liberal and black. Who among us wouldn't believe we were wonderful, and blameless, given so much affection?

If a majority of voters in November believe that our nation's problems aren't Mr. Obama's fault, but rather the dirty work

of anti-Obama bogeymen all over the place, the president likely will win re-election. But if he loses, that won't be his fault either. The real reason, we will be told by liberal pundits, is racism in America – not concern about Mr. Obama's policies or his competence. The real reason, they will tell us, is that white people could no longer tolerate a black man in the nation's top position. And I suspect, Mr. Obama will believe it, too. After all, it couldn't be his fault.