

The Democratic Party's Stereotyping of Muslims



Here's a question for you: If one person speaks out against "radical Islam," and another person insists that the first person's condemnation be taken in the context of *all* Muslims, which of those two people is stereotyping Muslims?

If your answer is the second person, it's probably because you noticed that the first person is describing a highly distinguishable subset of a particular faith, whose categorization is based on their actions.

If your answer is the first person, you're probably a Democrat – or even more likely a Democratic politician.

Earlier this month, at the CBS Democratic presidential debate, a moderator asked candidate Hillary Clinton if she agreed with Senator Marco Rubio's statement that the United States is at war with "Radical Islam."

Clinton answered, "I don't think we're at war with Islam. I don't think we're at war with all Muslims."

Of course, that wasn't the question she was asked. Neither Rubio nor any of the other Republican presidential candidates have claimed that we're at war with Islam or all Muslims. Yet, Clinton chose to twist Rubio's statement into an indictment of everyone of the Muslim faith.

Clinton is by no means alone in her assessment that a critique of 'radical Islam' is a critique of Islam. Much of her political party wholeheartedly agrees. In fact, the DNC put out a [YouTube video this week](#) entitled "Inciting fear isn't presidential," that features clips of GOP candidates speaking out *specifically* against radical Islamic terrorists. Following each clip is a caption like "equating Islam, all Muslims, with terrorists..."

The video is truly extraordinary in its insulting attempt to convince viewers that they didn't really hear what they just heard leaving the candidates' mouths. It's a technique I actually use quite regularly with my children in my car when they ask if I can turn down the radio, and I respond by saying, "Turn it up? No problem!"

The video goes on to show clips from old George W. Bush speeches, in which the former president is careful in his wording not to equate Islamic terrorism with all Muslims. The intent of the video-makers is apparently to draw a contrast between Bush's rhetoric and that of the 2016 candidates, even though there's no notable difference. In all cases, Republicans are singling out the radical elements, while the Democrats are assuring they all be lumped together.

Going back to Secretary Clinton's answer at the CBS debate, she was surprisingly (and refreshingly) called out by the moderator for her misrepresentation of what Marco Rubio had actually said. She was then forced to start her response over, and she finished her answer this way:

"We are at war with violent extremism. We are at war with people who use their religion for purposes of power and oppression. And yes, we are at war with those people, but I don't want us to be painting with too broad a brush."




Breaking: Presidential candidate Donald Trump endorses John A. Daly's new novel.

The problem is that Hillary Clinton and her fellow Democrats **do** want Muslims to be painted with that *broad brush*, at least when it serves them politically. Much like how the Dems willfully omit the word "illegal" from the term "illegal immigration," they've once again created a bigoted straw man that they have applied to the opposition party, in hopes of garnishing votes.

Whether the topic is terrorism, immigration, law enforcement, or the War on Women, people like Hillary Clinton are, in fact, enthusiastic proponents of stereotyping ethnicities. It's something they take pride in, and it's the lifeblood of the grievance culture for which they rely on to stay in power.

Adjectives matter, and the calculated omission of adjectives by the *party of diversity* says a lot about how it views its supporters.

Campaign 2016: The Return of Lt. Frank Drebin



This morning, something utterly shocking and disheartening happened. I was perusing Twitter when I came upon a political tweet left by uber-liberal MSNBC host Chris Hayes that I actually (I'm sorry to say) agreed with.

Scary, huh?

Beyond just agreeing with what he said, I even felt the point he made was fairly astute:

Trump seems like he'll agree w any crazy idea suggested to him: "Should we nuke Brussels?" "It's gotta be done. Just good management."

It's true. One of the things that has made Donald Trump a popular candidate is his amazing knack for saying utterly ridiculous, outrageous things with such confidence and conviction that they actually resonate with people who are hungry for something other than generic political-speak. He understands the value in appearing as though he knows what he's talking about, even when his words demonstrably prove otherwise.

In this sense, he reminds me of a more angry (and more orange) version of Lt. Frank Drebin, the character made famous by Leslie Nielsen in the *Police Squad!* series and *Naked Gun*

movies. Trump is the bumbling fool who's oblivious to the turmoil he creates, but his self-assured demeanor earns him admiration in the eyes of the unobservant.

What's even more interesting, regarding Trump, is how many of his supposedly principled stances have been concocted from acts of pure improvisation. A lot of them have come from responding offhandedly to unexpected (sometimes off-kilter) questions or suggestions put forth by reporters, interviewers, and even random participants in town hall events.

In some cases, Trump's policy ideas have materialized as a direct result of him being pressured for days (sometimes weeks) by the media to substantiate his clearly off-the-cuff, baseless rhetoric. Perhaps the most notable example of this was his claim that Mexico would pay for a border wall. This came in response to concerns over the fiscal feasibility of the wall, at a time when Trump was vilifying the Mexican government with the assertion that officials were dumping their rapists in our country.

Back in September, Trump was [asked by a man in New Hampshire](#) how we can get rid of Muslims who are living in America. Rather than shoot down the notion, Trump lent it legitimacy.

"We're going to be looking into a lot of different things," said Trump. "A lot of people are saying that and a lot of people are saying a lot of bad things are happening out there. We'll be looking at that and a lot of other things."

Trump's camp later suggested that the candidate hadn't heard the question properly, though supporters sitting directly behind him at the event clearly did, as is obvious from the video. When given opportunities to address Muslims living in the United States a couple of times this week, in the context of the terrorist attacks in Paris, Trump again got lured into co-opting some new stances.

On MSNBC's *Morning Joe*, [Trump was asked](#) if he would support

shutting down American mosques that are deemed radical. Trump said that we should strongly consider it, and he doubled-down on the assertion later at a pre-rally press conference in Massachusetts, where he called the idea [“common sense.”](#)

Later in the week, a reporter presented the idea to Trump of setting up a national database to register and track all Muslims living in the United States. Again, Trump bit.



Breaking: Presidential candidate Donald Trump endorses John A. Daly's new novel.

“I would certainly implement that. Absolutely,” said Trump. “There should be a lot of systems, beyond databases. We should have a lot of systems.”

Donald Trump and I don't have a whole lot in common, but I'm willing to bet that one thing we do share is that neither of us know what's about to come out of Donald Trump's mouth at any given moment. The man speaks definitively on topics he clearly hasn't put any thought into, as exemplified early on by his inclination to mock American POWs for being captured, just because he was mad at John McCain.

Of course, this would all be hilarious if it were part of a

David Zucker film, with Donald Trump playing the Drebin-like lead, and co-star [Hillary Clinton declaring](#) that Muslims “have nothing whatsoever to do with terrorism” while terrorists cheer her on in the background, screaming, “Allahu Akbar.” Hell, why not have Bernie Sanders lobbying ISIS on solar-powered weaponry?

But this isn't a movie. It's the future of our country. Let's leave the slapstick where it belongs, and start taking this thing seriously.

“The High Cost Of Cheap Labor” and “Talking Turkey About Turkey”

The only reason that Ronald Reagan signed the first amnesty bill back in 1986 wasn't really because the Democratic Congress promised to build a fence at the border. After all, he'd been around when the Democrats reneged on the promise made to Nixon that if he agreed to pull the troops out of Vietnam, they would continue to arm and fund the South Vietnamese. He did, and naturally they didn't.

The real reason Reagan signed the amnesty bill is because American business always wants the cheapest labor it can get its hands on. In the short term, it probably looks like a good deal all around. After all, the price of labor is always passed along to the consumer. However, in the long run it's a bad deal because most of those people are low wage earners, and the American citizen is eventually going to be stuck with the tab for their housing, health care, food stamps, schooling and, far too often, incarceration. In the end, we're all a lot

better off paying an extra few cents for a head of lettuce or a few extra bucks for a meal.

But as bad as low-cost labor has been for America, I dare say it's even worse in Europe, where the interlopers tend to be Muslims, a group of people notorious for their refusal to acclimate, to accept the laws, let alone the culture and traditions of the nations they contaminate. They are the reason that virtually every capital city on the Continent has been turned into a pig sty. In London, rarely a week goes by when the Muslims aren't demonstrating in the streets, displaying their contempt for their host nation and everything she has come to represent, including freedom, the arts and religious tolerance.

America, which used to be the land of the free and the home of the brave, has morphed into a place where political correctness has turned most people into whimpering cowards. In spite of the First Amendment, most TV pundits were afraid to even mention that Trayvon Martin was a drug-dealing six-footer or that 300-pound Michael Brown was a thief and a bully who just happened to be high on marijuana when he confronted Officer Darren Wilson.

These days, we aren't even supposed to hold the mothers and occasional fathers of criminals even partially responsible for the end result. On the contrary, we're expected to treat the parents of teenage thugs with the same respect and deference that we bestow on the parents of military warriors who forfeit their lives for their country.

But, then, for the past 13 years, we have had two presidents, Dumb and Dumber, insisting that Islam is a religion of peace. As hard as it was to swallow that swill from George W. Bush, it has gotten far worse under his successor. It was Obama, after all, who not only announced with a straight face that Muslims played a major role in the creation of the United States, but that they were an essential part of our space

exploration program. I suppose that last point has some merit. After all, if I were a NASA scientist, I'd have a real incentive to break the bounds of gravity, knowing that I and millions of others hoped and prayed that other planets could support human life. The reason being that it would provide an opportunity to leave the one that's home to 1.2 billion Muslims.

In the meantime, Barack Obama tries in vain to defuse public anger over his despotic decision to legislate from the Oval Office. His latest attempt took place in Chicago, where he announced yet again that our immigration policy has to be overhauled. The difference was that this time he said it wasn't merely to accommodate multi-millions of illegal Hispanics, but because there are plenty of people from Poland and Ireland who are in this country illegally.

I used to gag every time Obama opened his mouth. Lately, though, I've been doing a lot more head scratching. Poland and Ireland are the basis of the problem? Was it possible that the sly puss was trying to woo members of those two major ethnic groups? Instead of demanding that the President abide by his constitutional limitations, were Irish and Polish Americans supposed to say to themselves, "Hey, if the son of a gun can prevent five million Latinos from being deported, maybe he'll keep my uncle from being sent back to (Warsaw) (Dublin) after he gets out of jail"?

Finally, I don't know what you call it when Barack Obama pardons a Thanksgiving turkey, but I call it professional courtesy.

Talking Turkey About Turkey

By what right is Turkey allowed to be a member of NATO? Under its current leader, Recep Erdogan, it has become more and more of a despotic Islamic nation. In recent months, it has not

only denied the U.S. the use of its air force bases, but welcomed ISIS inside its borders so the terrorists could surround the Kurds and attack them from all sides. And does anyone seriously believe that Turkey would ever rush to defend any of its alleged NATO allies?

Speaking of turkeys, according to a PEW Poll, over 70% of blacks insist they are treated less fairly than whites by the police. My reaction was to wonder how the hell they know how whites are treated. I suspect that when it comes to criminals, you'd be hard pressed to find, to use Obama's favorite word, a smidgen of difference in the way those in either group are approached and arrested.

When it comes to law-abiding people, I suspect the behavior of most cops is determined by the way people relate to them, which, I dare say, is a fairly typical human response. Like any white driver in America, I have been stopped on many occasions. Once in a while, I believed that I was entirely in the right and had not committed any vehicular sins, but I never insulted the officer, displayed contempt and, so far as I recall, never once tried to take his gun away.

Instead of devoting so much time and attention to a single incident in Ferguson, MO, wouldn't you think that Barack Obama and Eric Holder would concentrate on black thugs who commit, on a per capita basis, eight times as many murders as whites, especially when the majority of their victims also happen to be black?

Also, why is it front page news when a white cop shoots a black person, but when it's blacks killing, torturing and raping whites or, for that matter, other blacks, there is a news – you should excuse the expression – blackout?

Getting back to Ferguson, when you realize that there was every expectation that, one, the Grand Jury would not indict Darren Wilson; that, two, the local cops were told to back off

and that the National Guard was not deployed on the streets; and that, three, everyone expected a riot to occur; why did County Prosecutor Robert McCulloch decide to release the Grand Jury's decision after nightfall? If the governor, the local police chief and Mr. McCulloch had sat down and choreographed the arson and vandalism, they couldn't have done more to ensure that the TV cameras would have every opportunity to record a race riot.

For years now, the Muslim world has been at war with America and the European democracies, but nobody in the civilized world will even acknowledge it. Instead, we try to cherry-pick the bad guys. We refer to Al Qaeda, the Taliban, ISIS, Hamas or Hezbollah, as if there is a speck of difference between them, other than what they call themselves. Partly it's because George Bush insisted that Islam is a religion of peace, partly because Obama won't even refer to the violence consuming the globe as having its source in the evil cult, and because the major media is dedicated to the childish belief that the only truly evil people in the world are American conservatives.

As a result, there is a religious war taking place in the world, but, with the exception of Israel, only one side is waging it or will even acknowledge it's taking place.

In similar fashion, a race war is currently underway in America, but it is only being conducted by blacks. Only blacks are encouraged to ignore the facts about what took place in Ferguson and to pretend that a 300-pound thug was a cherubic altar boy. Only they get to insist that forensic evidence is a white plot. Only they get to pass off a scumbag like Al Sharpton as a civil rights leader with moral authority. Why is he given the key to the White House, but not, say, his white counterpart, the Grand Kleagle of the Ku Klux Klan?

It's not enough to blame the black thugs, including Eric Holder, who descended on Ferguson with no other purpose but to

inflame a bad situation and to make it worse. One must also hold the feet of the media to the flames. I'm not referring to the TV cameras that at times out-numbered the barbarians in the streets, but to a media that patronizes blacks, at least so long as they're not Ben Carson, Condoleezza Rice, Allen West, Thomas Sowell, Jason Riley or Tim Scott, in which case they're dismissed as Uncle Toms, Aunt Jemimas and traitors to their race.

The media likes to label conservatives as racists, but that's clearly a case of the pot smokers calling the kettle black. What else but racist would you call it when journalists not only find endless excuses for soaring rates of black crime and illegitimate births, but blame white society for the millions of black men who refuse to marry the mothers of their children or to help raise and provide for their sons and daughters?

How is it not racist to ignore the fact that in spite of billions of tax dollars having been spent in the hope of providing a ladder through education by which slum dwellers can climb out of poverty, half of young blacks fail to even bother graduating from high school?

There is no denying that racism exists in America, but nearly all of it resides in two groups: black bigots and white liberals.

On top of everything else, we are cursed with a president who any time there's a news item about a black person who has behaved inappropriately, automatically springs to their defense, always assuming the worst about white people in general and white police officers in particular.

When I saw the tawdry assemblage that Obama invited to the White House to discuss racial issues, a gathering that included notorious race hustler Al Sharpton and Obama's own version of Rasputin, Valerie Jarrett, it occurred to me that as groups go, even Amos 'n' Andy's Mystic Knights of the Sea

and Ralph Kramden's Friendly Sons of the Raccoons had higher standards for inclusion.

Finally, just in case you don't think I've mentioned enough birdbrains to achieve my daily quota, let me hasten to add Robert Redford, who believes that our great outdoors should forever remain in the pristine state in which God created it, unless of course he decides to host a film festival for his Hollywood cronies in the midst of the Rockies. Last year, 46,000 of his nearest and dearest descended on Sundance, Utah, mainly in their fossil fuel-burning private jets, to watch movies.

In one of his typically self-serving, hypocritical, pronouncements, Redford recently declared, "I think the environment should be put in the category of our national security. Defense of our resources is just as important as defense abroad. Otherwise, what is there to defend?"

A few things that occurred to me, but apparently not to Mr. Redford, include our borders, freedom, liberty, loved ones and the Constitution.

**Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.
Tune in at K4HD.com His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443**

©2014 [Burt Prelutsky](http://BurtPrelutsky.com). Comments? Write BurtPrelutsky@aol.com.

P.C. Stands For Politically Craven

I know that those who police American society searching for the slightest offence to members of any minority group, be it

gays, blacks, illegal aliens or Muslims, are convinced that they are the only reason that the majority aren't busily engaged in dispensing horse-whippings on every street corner. The fact is that the only thing they're really doing is turning inconsequential molehills into mountains. Bruising someone's tender feelings should not be confused with a lynching.

I'm not sure when we turned into a nation of wussies, but I know it got a lot worse once we actually elected a wuss to be our commander-in-chief. When a president constantly backs down from a confrontation with a bully like Vladimir Putin, draws meaningless red lines in the sand and allows Iran to not only unilaterally extend meaningless negotiations for four months but simultaneously receive a \$2.8 billion bribe, it sets a bad example. Our enemies kick sand in our face, and instead of muscling up the way Charles Atlas did in those old magazine ads, Obama depletes the military just before flouncing off to play a round of golf.

Obama isn't really a leader; instead, he's the human equivalent of a Potemkin Village. For those not up on such things, it's been said that in 1787, Gregory Potemkin erected fake settlements in order to fool Russian Empress Catherine II during a state visit into thinking that under his stewardship Crimea was a happening place.

In the intervening 227 years, the term has come to mean anything concocted to deceive others into believing that something is better than it is. Although it is generally used in connection with an actual structure, it could just as well be applied to an economic report that suggests the unemployment rate is plummeting without disclosing that most new jobs are part-time and that millions of people have stopped even looking for jobs, thus removing themselves from the unemployment rolls.

I have tried to figure out why liberals get so upset over what

they regard to be unfair treatment of women, homosexuals and minorities, here in America, but seem oblivious to far more revolting behavior in Muslim nations, where, typically, women, homosexuals, Christians and Jews, are stoned, burned or beheaded, for no other reason than that they are who they are.

What does it make us that we're even civil to those who perform clitorectomies on their daughters, who set fire to churches and the worshippers inside them, and who condemned a Christian woman to death for the crime of marrying a non-Muslim?

Speaking of Meriam Ibrahim, whose life was finally spared after the intervention of American church groups, I hope Pope Francis doesn't limit his involvement with Mrs. Ibrahim to a meet-and-greet at the Vatican. I'd like it if he remembers to leave a note for the next pope or the one after that she be canonized when that day arrives. Even though she wasn't killed, she did spend six months in a prison believing she would receive a hundred lashes and then be hanged, even giving birth to a son in the Sudanese pig sty, all because she, a Christian woman, refused to abandon her faith and convert to Islam.

In fact, if the Church ever gets around to electing a woman to the Holy See, they could do a lot worse than choosing Mrs. Ibrahim. What she did was far more impressive than venturing out on the balcony to deliver yet another speech devoted to arguing for the redistribution of other people's wealth.

A question that bedevils me is why Barack Obama, John Kerry and our State Department try to appear even-handed when it comes to Israel and its enemies. We didn't feel the need to be non-judgmental during World War II. We didn't pretend that Germany and, say, Holland or Denmark were equally to blame for the bloodbath. So why, when the Palestinians have sworn to kill all the Jews, and Israel, for its part, keeps ceding land in exchange for an ever more elusive peace, do we feel the

need to claim a moral equivalence where one clearly does not exist?

I am wondering if it's because Germany was a nation, whereas Islam passes itself off as a religion. Or could it be because Obama once famously stated that he would stand with the Muslims if the political winds shifted in an ugly way? Although his defenders insist that he was referring to standing with American Muslims in the face of religious intolerance, it can't be entirely coincidental that when asked how to best solve the problems in the Middle East, he said it would be a good start for Israel to retreat to its suicidal pre-1967 borders.

Something that seems to have been lost since 9/11 is that when the Palestinians saw the Twin Towers coming down on their TVs in Jenin, Ramallah and Hebron, there was dancing in the streets. And those people don't even like to dance. Also keep in mind that was years before Hamas terrorists started winning elections.

I am not easily astonished, but I must admit I am dumbfounded that we have American teachers, professors, journalists and even Presbyterian ministers, who openly declare their support of Islamic fanatics and Arab fascists. But perhaps it was all those years in which they defended communist butchers in the Soviet Union, China, Cuba, North Korea, East Germany and Cambodia, that prepared them for their signature role in the world, forever typecast as useful idiots.

Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.

Tune in at K4HD.com His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443

©2014 [Burt Prelutsky](http://BurtPrelutsky.com). Comments? Write BurtPrelutsky@aol.com.

“Beyond the Pale” and “Terrorists & Heroes”

It's a wonder to me that there are still people who can listen to liberals and believe a word they say. Whether it's Obama's promising that we could keep our doctors and our health plans, Harry Reid's calling cancer victims liars for daring to disclose the hardships wrought by the Affordable Care Act or Elizabeth Warren claiming to be a Cherokee in order to get herself hired at diversity-crazy Harvard, there are millions of chuckleheads who will happily swallow the swill and insist it tastes just like chicken.

Now we have Hillary Clinton pulling out the crying towel, insisting to Diane Sawyer that she and Bill were not only dead broke when they left the White House, but deeply in debt because of legal fees. I have no idea why she wanted to mention the legal fees inasmuch as they're a reminder that he needed to hire lawyers because he had perjured himself before a grand jury, which also happened to be the reason he was ultimately disbarred.

I still recall the way that George W. Bush was mocked by Democrats when it was falsely reported that he was unfamiliar with the bar codes at a supermarket. But when Hillary, while explaining her alleged financial woes, says, “You know how it is when you have to piece together mortgages for houses,” the same folks not only manage to keep their mockery in check, but offer her their sympathy.

I mean, perhaps Diane Sawyer and hubby Mike Nichols know what it's like to buy multiple homes, but it's not a common dilemma for most of us peons. But, then, neither are \$10 million book deals or \$250,000-a-crack speaking fees.

Speaking of people suffering from the agony associated with

having a tin ear, Obama dismisses critics of the Bergdahl swap by patting himself on the back for liberating five terrorist leaders in exchange for one Army deserter. He does that in spite of the fact that 75% of those polled opposed the trade after the fact, and even such political allies as Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D, CA) and Obama's former Secretary of Defense, Leon Panetta, opposed the deal prior to the trade!

You keep hearing that Obama is playing to his base whether it's in reference to postponing construction of the Keystone pipeline, expanding the reach of the EPA or wiping his butt with the Constitution. My question is whether or not the actual base includes anyone besides the less than magnificent seven: Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Joe Biden, Dick Durbin, Vladimir Putin, the Ayatollah Khomeini and George Soros.

Regarding the treacherous swap, some people, specifically John Kerry, are choosing to play down the fact that Bowe Bergdahl deserted by pointing out that the Taliban tortured him and that he tried to escape. I believe the simple and obvious explanation is that when he abandoned his platoon because, as he put it in an email to his Allah-blessing father – otherwise known as Abu ben Bergdahl to some of us – he hated America and especially the U.S. military, and that therefore young Bergdahl assumed the Taliban would welcome him as the Prodigal Son. When, instead, they treated him the way they generally treat infidels, though short of hacking off his head, it came as a rude wake-up call to the traitorous punk.

Some people used to refer to Barack Obama as the Manchurian Candidate. They were referencing Raymond Shaw, a character created by novelist Richard Condon. In a nefarious plot, Shaw was passed off as a war hero, but was in reality a dupe who had been psychologically programmed to kill in order that foreign and domestic villains might achieve a political end. I think that Bowe Bergdahl, after spending much of his five years in captivity cavorting with the enemy, playing soccer and engaging in target practice, might better fit that

description, unless the Army wisely decides to provide him with a one-way ticket to Leavenworth. Short of that, I think we can expect that one day Mr. Bergdahl will be running for the Senate in Idaho.

Not only does Obama trade away five Muslim terrorists in exchange for one deserter, but at the same time he lets a Marine stew in a Mexican jail and rolls out the red carpet for thousands of Honduran and Guatemalan children, who first had to pass through Mexico to reach and then cross our border. I'm just asking, but isn't it high time we declared Mexico a rogue state and cut off tourism and diplomatic relations, as we did with Cuba?

Finally, Miss Nevada, Nia Sanchez, got into trouble with feminists when she took the occasion of the Miss Universe pageant to say that women need to learn how to defend themselves from sexual assault. It seems the women of NOW were upset because they wanted her to set men straight by telling them that rape is wrong.

On behalf of the male gender, I'd like the ladies to understand we already know it's wrong. We also know without being told by the likes of Gloria Steinem that killing, stealing and cheating at cards, is also wrong.

Nevertheless, a woman like Ms. Sanchez, who is a fourth degree black belt, has the right idea. When confronted by a rapist, a karate jab to the Adam's apple or a kick to the groin is a far greater deterrent than a sermon.

Might I suggest to the feminists that their time would be better spent if they stopped picking on people like the admirable Nia Sanchez, who is not only smarter than they are, but looks a heck of a lot better in a bathing suit.

Instead, they should be advising Muslim women to start packing revolvers under those stupid burkas so that they could defend themselves from perverted fathers and brothers whose Koran

assures them that Allah smiles on those who prove themselves honorable by stoning to death any wife or daughter who raises the slightest objection to residing in eighth century pig sties.

TERRORISTS AND HEROES

Somebody will one day have to explain to me why we or the Israelis ever imprison terrorists. If we're not going to execute them, why capture them at all? Why bother feeding and clothing them until the day comes that the bad guys capture one of ours in order to trade him in for five, 500 or a thousand of theirs? In the case of Israel, they've even been known to swap hundreds of jihadists in exchange for a single corpse.

I understand that by simply executing the bad guys, some would argue that we would be fueling their recruitment programs. But we hear that about everything from keeping Gitmo open to airing "Dancing with the Stars." If we merely order a BLT, we're told that ten thousand more pinheads have signed up to murder us for disrespecting their dietary laws. For a change, let's just see if we can kill them faster than they can recruit replacements. I mean, we all know that the human race will never be able to exterminate every last rodent and cockroach, but that's no reason to stop trying.

While addressing a group of homosexuals, Eric Holder recently attacked the Boy Scouts because they refuse to have openly gay Scout leaders. My question is why is the Attorney General pandering to homosexuals? With all the scandals brewing in Washington, why is he even giving speeches? If he has so much time on his hands, wouldn't it be better spent trying to hunt up those two years of email messages between Lois Lerner and the White House that the IRS insists have gone missing?

Besides, last year the Scouts were sued and lost a \$16 million lawsuit because a Scout master molested one of his charges on

a camping trip. I know that gays hate to be lumped with pedophiles, but the sad truth is that while all gays don't go around molesting young boys, those perverts who do just happen to be homosexual. So unless America's homosexuals or its attorney general stand ready to indemnify the Boy Scouts against such lawsuits, it's time they just shut up. If they want to start the Gay Scouts of America, nobody's stopping them.

Americans often tend to be very naïve. It's not the worst thing to be, but it can denote a severe degree of stupidity. For instance, whenever some nut pops his cork and shoots up a movie theater or a classroom, his friends, neighbors and relatives, can nearly always be counted on to remark, "Gee, he seemed so quiet and polite," as if a sure sign of insanity is being noisy and obnoxious.

Naiveté can also be fatal at a time of war. And unless you've been living in a cave since before 1978 when the Islamic creeps in Iran took Americans hostage; before our embassies and Marine barracks were attacked; before an airliner was blown up over Scotland; before the Twin Towers were brought down; before Major Hasan killed or maimed over 40 people at Fort Hood; and before the Tsarnaev brothers massacred Boston marathoners; a war has definitely been underway. And saying that you know some perfectly nice Islamic shopkeepers and taxi drivers changes nothing. I'm sure there were some perfectly nice Germans who didn't care for Hitler, but they still went along for the ride.

When you realize how few Muslims even here in America are willing to speak out against the scum who holler "Allah Akbar" while burning churches, stoning women to death for being Christians or hacking off the heads of so-called infidels, you'll pardon me if I don't regard all those soft-spoken shopkeepers and taxi drivers as salt of the earth. For my part, I don't trust them any further than I can toss the 9/11 Memorial.

Fortunately, there are still heroes among us. A few of them were the former members of Bowe Bergdahl's Army platoon. In spite of being called liars and even psychopaths by those Obama acolytes who are trying to put lipstick on the swap of five jihadists for one deserter and calling it a sweetheart of a deal, the ex-GIs have stuck to their guns in demanding that Bergdahl be court martialed.

A less likely hero is Donald Sterling. Instead of rolling over and taking whatever the politically correct hypocrites felt like dishing out, rumor has it that he's hired private investigators to dig up dirt on ex-NBA Commissioner David Stern, current Commissioner Adam Silver and the 29 other team owners.

I, for one, am delighted that Sterling wasn't wasting his time at all those basketball games, and finally came to realize that the best defense is a good offense.

And if I know anything about professional sports, those investigators are likely to dig up enough dirt on those 31shucks to fill in the Grand Canyon.

**Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.
Tune in at K4HD.com His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443**

©2014 [Burt Prelutsky](http://BurtPrelutsky.com). Comments? Write BurtPrelutsky@aol.com.