

# **“Our Constitutional Scowler” and “Kim Jong-Un, Movie Critic**

I think it's high time the media stopped referring to Barack Obama as a constitutional scholar. Judging by the contempt he has displayed towards our most sacred document, he is better described as a constitutional scowler. I am probably being overly generous, but I think a case can be made that over the past six years, Obama has ignored or acted in violation of at least eight Amendments, the first, second, fourth, sixth, seventh, tenth, fourteenth and fifteenth.

The reason I spend so much time denigrating liberals isn't simply because it's so much fun, but because I sincerely believe they are working overtime to destroy America. To maintain a polite silence in the face of it is my idea of moral cowardice.

For instance, Obama and his hand maidens in Congress have long maintained that Gitmo must be shuttered because it is used as a recruiting tool by Islamic terrorists, although they themselves refrain from referring to our existential enemies in such clear terms. However, they didn't hesitate when it came to releasing a partisan report that tarred the CIA. One may agree or disagree with what the CIA did in defense of the country, but both sides acknowledge that the techniques ceased five years ago.

Therefore, the report will have little or no effect aside from leading to countless hours of handwringing by the self-righteous likes of John McCain, Juan Williams and George Will, and endangering the lives of those engaged in intelligence gathering on behalf of our nation.

It seems that Sen. Feinstein was deeply troubled that the CIA

apparently spied on her Senate intelligence committee, and determined it was a violation of the separation of powers. Inasmuch as she and her liberal colleagues then went on to release a damning report on the Agency, one can see that the CIA had good reason to fear and distrust the committee. That was especially so when we learned that the senators never bothered interviewing anyone connected either in the past or currently to the Agency.

However, when, in clear violation of his enumerated powers, Obama legislates with his pen and his phone from the Oval Office, the sanctimonious Mrs. Feinstein doesn't utter a single word in defense of the Constitution she has sworn to defend and protect.

I keep hearing that America longs for a Congress that works in a bi-partisanship fashion, but I don't believe it. Liberals have no desire to see Democrats compromising with Republicans, and conservatives certainly have no wish to see Republicans compromising with Democrats. As I see it, the only people who call for bi-partisanship are the know-nothings who have so little understanding of the major issues that they think that it is only mulishness that keeps members of the two parties from joining hands and singing a few choruses of "Kumbaya."

When one party is convinced that the federal government should control everything from education and health care to the environment and the economy, and the other party thinks the single greatest threat to our freedom and liberty is that very same central government, which is basically that which existed with such disastrous results in the Soviet Union, bi-partisanship is merely another word for treason.

In other news, the liberal media is beside itself over an alleged epidemic of rape taking place on college campuses. They keep referring to a poll that suggested that one in five coeds is sexually assaulted. What they don't do is make it clear that the poll, which only had a 40% participation rate,

was limited to two campuses and included such "assaults" as compliments, ogling and kissing.

I'm not going to suggest it's not possible that under certain circumstances, all of these things can be mildly distasteful – although I must confess I've never felt personally assaulted by a compliment – but they hardly constitute rape, and by including them, the feminists trivialize a despicable crime that, frankly, I would make a capital offense.

Far from supporting the poll that indicated 20% of coeds are being raped, government statistics claim the rate is about .6%, which translates to six coeds in a thousand being victimized by campus rapists, not 200!

In news from the Orient, I have heard that most of the prescription drugs we use in America are being produced in China. Keep in mind those clodhoppers can't even manufacture non-toxic dog food. Knowing they're probably responsible for my rheumatoid arthritis pills is enough to make my blood run cold. Still, I think I'd prefer to suffer from terminally chilly blood than have to trust a product made in China to warm it up.

On the other hand, I owe North Korea a shout-out for hacking the computers at Sony Pictures. Otherwise, I'd never know that in an ill-advised email, a well-known producer called Angelina Jolie not only a mediocre actress, which I already knew, but a spoiled brat, which I merely suspected.

I also found out that even those Hollywood elitists who line up to attend Obama's \$35,000 fund-raisers can't resist making racist jokes about him when they think nobody's around.

In exchange for my tax dollars, that's the sort of stuff I want to hear from the government snoops at the National Security Agency. I mean it's bad enough I have to depend on the damn Chinese to fill my prescriptions without also having to rely on that schmuck Kim Jong-un for my Hollywood gossip.

---

# Kim Jong-Un, Movie Critic

I've had some bad months in my life, but none has been quite as gruesome as the one that Sony just endured. First, there was the leak of those embarrassing emails in which uber-producer Scott Rudin trashed Angelina Jolie, and Sony head Amy Pascal made racist comments about Obama's taste in movies. Ms. Pascal made it even worse by then going to Al Sharpton, begging him for dispensation. Frankly, I'd fire her for that alone.

If Rudin and Pascal have one reason to envy me, it's that I can never be embarrassed by leaked emails. You see, whenever I have a politically incorrect thought to share, I don't waste it in an email; I work it into an article.

Anyway, as bad as the leaks were, the studio made things worse when it backed down in the face of North Korean threats. I guess Sony was afraid that Kim Jong-un was going to have his pal Dennis Rodman set off stink bombs if "The Interview" was released. Frankly, judging by earlier Seth Rogen comedies, I'm sure this one didn't need any help when it came to stinking up movie houses.

For weeks on end, every news report claimed that North Korea was allegedly behind the hacking. Allegedly? I kept wondering who the other suspects might be. Who else might object to the chubby guy with the bad haircut being humiliated on the world's movie screens? Luxembourg? Monaco? The Vatican? The word, itself, has become something of a joke. Simply because nobody has stood up, like on an old "Perry Mason" episode, and confessed in open court, doesn't automatically turn the perfectly obvious into the alleged.

Considering how little it took to make Sony chicken out, we

shouldn't expect to see a comedy in which the Ayatollah Khomeini mistakenly eats a ham sandwich, thus damning his soul to the eternal flames any time soon.

Still, if I have to choose between an administration run by either Amy Pascal or Barack Obama, I'll take Amy. As dumb as she may be, I doubt if she would explain reopening diplomatic relations with Cuba by saying that "If you keep doing the same thing for 50 years and not getting anywhere, it's time to try something new." After all, if Truman, Eisenhower, Kennedy, Johnson, Nixon, Carter, Reagan and Bush, hadn't realized that there should never be a time table when it comes to doing the right thing, the Soviet Union might have won the Cold War.

When asked if this détente meant that Raul Castro might soon be visiting the Obamas, Liar-in-Chief Josh Earnest didn't say yes and he didn't say no. Instead, he said that Castro wouldn't be the first Communist leader who has ever set foot in the White House. And, so far as I know, he wasn't referring to Barack Obama.

In other Washington news, the Pentagon has decided that simply because someone is a member of the Taliban doesn't mean he's an enemy of ours. Heck, no. Not when the EU decides that Hamas isn't even a terrorist organization. And not when you have Obama rushing to the financial aid of a dictatorship in Cuba that is barely hanging on because its two major sponsors, Russia and Venezuela, are suffering the effects of freefalling oil prices.

Speaking of boneheads, the one person who agrees with the EU when it comes to Hamas is our own Jimmy Carter, who followed up four disastrous years in the White House by spending the next 34 years reminding us of the debt the nation owes Ronald Reagan for giving the sanctimonious creep his walking papers.

It seems that once, when asked why he believed Hamas was a group dedicated to peace even though its charter calls for

wiping Israel off the face of the earth, Carter replied that when he met with its leaders, he gave them DVDs that featured pacifists like Martin Luther King and Mahatma Gandhi, and they thanked him. I guess when you're an anti-Semite with the brains of a mashed potato, it doesn't take much to persuade you that the killers of babies and rabbis are the good guys.

It also doesn't hurt when most of the money donated to build your presidential library was contributed by Arabs and Muslims, grateful that a former U.S. president would condemn Israel as an apartheid state, while turning a blind eye to those dedicated to murdering Christians as well as Jews.

As for Gandhi the pacifist, let the record show that he hated African blacks, was an anti-Semite and, for good measure, chose not to take sides when it came to World War II. Respect him if you like, but where I come from it takes more than wearing an adult diaper to prove you're one of God's nobler creatures.

Finally, I should let you know that I have received several emails from people affiliated with the Wounded Warrior Project. They claim that I slandered the enterprise when I shared a report that indicated that they misspent a sizable portion of the charitable contributions they receive on things other than wounded warriors.

If the report I quoted didn't have the numbers right, I sincerely apologize. But even the new set of numbers didn't really change my overall opinion. I should explain that, except for the Salvation Army, I don't entirely trust big name charities. I'm not saying they're dishonest. What I am suggesting is that once an organization is taking in well over a hundred million dollars a year, you'll inevitably find that it's spending a huge amount on inflated salaries, travel, promotion and general overhead. I'm not claiming that anyone is fiddling with the funds. It just strikes me that donating to major charities is a lot like sending tax dollars to the

federal government and expecting the money to be spent prudently.

Perhaps I'm naïve, but it seems to me that, like the Salvation Army, which relies mainly on volunteers, the Wounded Warrior Project could call on millions of older Americans, especially patriotic veterans, to volunteer to do a lot of the heavy lifting. It seems to me that would save millions of dollars that could then be spent exactly the way the donors intended, to serve the needs of those brave Americans who sacrificed so much on our behalf.

And now it's time for one last poll before the end of the year. I would like to know which Fox News personality, be it a host or a regular contributor, is your favorite and who is your least favorite. Please send the two names to me as soon as possible at [BurtPrelutsky@aol.com](mailto:BurtPrelutsky@aol.com).

**Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.  
Tune in at [K4HD.com](http://K4HD.com) His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443**

©2014 Burt Prelutsky. Comments? Write [BurtPrelutsky@aol.com](mailto:BurtPrelutsky@aol.com).

---

## **Mandela, MLK & Obama**

I think we can all agree that when major world leaders die, we all die a little bit ourselves. In my case, I generally come down with a terminal case of boredom because the media bombards us with tributes from people who labor under the delusion that a little bit of glory rubs off on them if they utter the requisite number of laudatory clichés.

In Mandela's case, he was apparently as good and bad as any figure of our time. Nobody can deny that he was exactly what

his nation needed – a leader who not only didn't seek revenge on those who had kept him in prison for 27 years, but who had handed over the presidency after a few short years when every other African leader hangs on for as long as he can, generally murdering countless thousands in order to do so.

On the other hand, when it came to matters outside the borders of South Africa, he was a left wing, anti-American, anti-Semitic, creep who buddied up to the loathsome likes of Gaddafi, Castro, the Russians, Yasser Arafat, and the Palestinians, sounding exactly like the Ayatollah when it came to Israel.

At his send-off held in South Africa's major soccer stadium, we and the rest of the world got to see Obama meet and greet Raul Castro, behaving as if he had just run into a long-lost chum. Once again, I got to see him bend to shake Castro's hand, just as he had with all those world leaders in 2009. The fact is he's 6'1, tall but not gigantic; I have a great many friends who are that tall or taller, and even though I'm only 5'7 when I stand on my tiptoes, none of my pals has to bend over to shake hands. Whether Obama thinks the slouch emphasizes his height or, God forbid, hopes to fool people into thinking he's humble, I don't know. But it's a very annoying affectation and I wish he'd cut it out.

Although I believe that Mandela's virtues outweigh his sins, I'm not sure I feel the same way about Martin Luther King. The major difference between these two communists is that Mandela was a politician; he was in a position to turn his moral convictions into reality. King, on the other hand, was simply a reverend, a moral authority, if you will, but as a serial adulterer, I have to question how much actual authority he had or deserved. What's more, unlike Mandela, who did so much to rid his nation of apartheid, the relations between blacks and whites in America not only haven't improved since the late 60s, they have become far worse, although Obama and those other reverends, Jesse Jackson and Al Sharpton, have to take

much of the blame for that.

Although he generally gets to slide because he was only a writer and not a dictator, Karl Marx deserves his place on any list of major villains because it was his writing that made it possible for the very worst despots – Hitler, Stalin, Mao, Pol Pot, Castro, Chavez – to put a good face on pure evil. All they had to do was give away some freebies to the mob in the name of fairness and equality, and before the mob knew what was happening, they were under someone's boot. And because the mob, whether here or in Russia or Cambodia, is as collectively stupid as a sack of rocks, they will swallow bilge from anyone who promises to take away goodies from others and give it to them.

In case you don't know a mob when you see it, if it chants slogans, it's a mob. Mobs always chant, generally while marching in a circle with their right fists thrust in the air, while parroting some jackass screaming inanities into a megaphone.

Washington, D.C., has a population of 601,000 people, 305,000 of whom are blacks. Another stat worth noting is that 44% of all black pregnancies in our nation's capital end in abortions. I only included this item to let people know that there is one silver lining when it comes to the huge number of abortions taking place in America. It's a case of Democrats killing off future Democrats, and not being able to blame it on those racist Republicans.

According to political pundit George Will, people who oppose the treaty that Obama and Kerry are trying to make with Iran are nostalgic for the 1930s. By that, I take him to mean that things were terrible back in the days when the Soviet Union was isolated and only the New York Times and Pravda had good things to say about the Bolsheviks, and when Stalin's agents hadn't yet had a chance to infiltrate our State Department. For my own part, I'm nostalgic for the 1990s when George Will

wrote about baseball, a topic about which he seemed to know a little something.

Finally, someone should explain the meaning of “hypocrisy” to Ed Henry, Fox’s man at the White House. While reporting that Harry Reid had exempted his staff from ObamaCare, he went on to say that there were some Republicans who were being equally hypocritical because he’d heard that a few GOP senators were considering exempting their own staffs. If Mr. Henry sees no difference between the guy who had done the heavy lifting to get the toxic bill passed, and those on the other side of the aisle who voted against it and have been trying to kill it for the past four years, it may be time for Fox to get him away from Jay Carney’s influence before his brain also turns into mulch.

©2013 Burt Prelutsky. Comments? Write [BurtPrelutsky@aol.com](mailto:BurtPrelutsky@aol.com).