

The Democratic Party's Stereotyping of Muslims



Here's a question for you: If one person speaks out against "radical Islam," and another person insists that the first person's condemnation be taken in the context of *all* Muslims, which of those two people is stereotyping Muslims?

If your answer is the second person, it's probably because you noticed that the first person is describing a highly distinguishable subset of a particular faith, whose categorization is based on their actions.

If your answer is the first person, you're probably a Democrat – or even more likely a Democratic politician.

Earlier this month, at the CBS Democratic presidential debate, a moderator asked candidate Hillary Clinton if she agreed with Senator Marco Rubio's statement that the United States is at war with "Radical Islam."

Clinton answered, "I don't think we're at war with Islam. I don't think we're at war with all Muslims."

Of course, that wasn't the question she was asked. Neither Rubio nor any of the other Republican presidential candidates have claimed that we're at war with Islam or all Muslims. Yet, Clinton chose to twist Rubio's statement into an indictment of everyone of the Muslim faith.

Clinton is by no means alone in her assessment that a critique of 'radical Islam' is a critique of Islam. Much of her political party wholeheartedly agrees. In fact, the DNC put out a [YouTube video this week](#) entitled "Inciting fear isn't presidential," that features clips of GOP candidates speaking out *specifically* against radical Islamic terrorists. Following each clip is a caption like "equating Islam, all Muslims, with terrorists..."

The video is truly extraordinary in its insulting attempt to convince viewers that they didn't really hear what they just heard leaving the candidates' mouths. It's a technique I actually use quite regularly with my children in my car when they ask if I can turn down the radio, and I respond by saying, "Turn it up? No problem!"

The video goes on to show clips from old George W. Bush speeches, in which the former president is careful in his wording not to equate Islamic terrorism with all Muslims. The intent of the video-makers is apparently to draw a contrast between Bush's rhetoric and that of the 2016 candidates, even though there's no notable difference. In all cases, Republicans are singling out the radical elements, while the Democrats are assuring they all be lumped together.

Going back to Secretary Clinton's answer at the CBS debate, she was surprisingly (and refreshingly) called out by the moderator for her misrepresentation of what Marco Rubio had actually said. She was then forced to start her response over, and she finished her answer this way:

"We are at war with violent extremism. We are at war with people who use their religion for purposes of power and oppression. And yes, we are at war with those people, but I don't want us to be painting with too broad a brush."



Breaking: Presidential candidate Donald Trump endorses John A. Daly's new novel.

The problem is that Hillary Clinton and her fellow Democrats **do** want Muslims to be painted with that *broad brush*, at least when it serves them politically. Much like how the Dems willfully omit the word "illegal" from the term "illegal immigration," they've once again created a bigoted straw man that they have applied to the opposition party, in hopes of garnishing votes.

Whether the topic is terrorism, immigration, law enforcement, or the War on Women, people like Hillary Clinton are, in fact, enthusiastic proponents of stereotyping ethnicities. It's something they take pride in, and it's the lifeblood of the grievance culture for which they rely on to stay in power.

Adjectives matter, and the calculated omission of adjectives by the *party of diversity* says a lot about how it views its supporters.

“Debunking Balderdash” and “Piling On Cliven Bundy”

The oracles at the New York Times recently announced that, economically, our own middle class has fallen behind that of our neighbor to the north. I'm not sure what their intention was in sharing this bad news. Heaven knows they'd never think of laying the blame for this embarrassment at the feet of Barack Obama and his absurd economic policies, which have included destroying the world's preeminent health care system; extending unemployment benefits to the end of time; encouraging the EPA to do its best to regulate the oil and coal industries out of existence; and seemingly doing everything in his power to destroy capitalism.

The two things that the Times neglected to mention by way of explanation was that, unlike the left-wing slacker in the White House, Canada is being led by a conservative prime minister, Stephen Harper, who has lowered taxes and passed a balanced budget, which just happen to be two things that Obama would never even consider, lest the mere concept sent him to bed with a severe case of shingles.

One of the many things wrong with Obama is that he is so terribly concerned with the plight of illegal aliens, who have no right, either morally or legally, to be in this country, but apparently doesn't care a whit that our military veterans are being treated like crap by the federal bureaucrats at the V.A., who continue to cash their bonus checks while ignoring the physical and mental problems of those they're supposed to serve.

In the meantime, students at the University of Hawaii were prevented from passing out free copies of the U.S. Constitution by school administrators, who obviously hadn't taken advantage of the free offer to bone up on the 1st

Amendment.

However, it's my suspicion that if the students had torn out the pages and passed them out as wrappers for marijuana, the same administrators would have never dared to impinge on their right to do so, as long as the recipients swore to use them solely for that purpose, and nobody cheated by actually reading the immortal words of James Madison.

Speaking of the Constitution, it seems that big city liberals never quit trying to gut it. This time, they are attempting to get rid of the Electoral College by letting the popular vote determine the presidential election. Thus, they would give urban voters (read liberal) a leg up over rural and suburban voters, as if they don't already have a decisive advantage in L.A., New York, Philadelphia, Detroit, Chicago, Houston, San Francisco and Newark, thanks to the high concentration of black voters.

There are well-meaning people whose kneejerk reaction is to say that it should be the popular vote that determines winners and losers, but the Founding Fathers had a realistic fear of large groups of people. They wanted to protect the nation by preventing the major population centers in a few states from running roughshod over the smaller states. In other words, they felt that just because one candidate won by several million votes in half a dozen states and the opposing candidate won more states, but by smaller margins, the tail shouldn't be allowed to wag the dog.

The Founders believed in the sovereign rights of individual states, and this was one of the many, and perhaps the wisest, ways in which they expressed that belief.

In other predictable news, Iran has been given a seat on the U.N. Commission on the Rights of Women. How could it be otherwise? After all, who would know better about women's rights than Iran, where recently a woman was sentenced to

death for stabbing a man who was attempting to rape her? The irony of the sentence is that if the rapist had been successful, his victim would have either been executed for adultery by the state or been murdered by her father or her brothers for bringing dishonor to the family.

But there are some things which even the U.N. Commission won't abide. Last month, they passed a resolution condemning Israel for its treatment of Palestinian women. I can only imagine they object to the Israelis' stubborn refusal to make them wear burkas in public and the reluctance of Jewish surgeons to perform clitorectomies!

Because we are still so far from the 2016 presidential race, besides believing that the GOP would be best served by having a governor as our standard bearer, and personally favoring Scott Walker, Mike Pence and Rick Perry, the one thing I can promise is that any of them can win me over by simply vowing to cut us loose from the United Nations.

If we have to join something, and I don't know why we would, let it be along the lines of a Confederation of Civilized, Capitalistic, Nations, with membership pretty much limited to Canada, England, Japan, Israel, Australia and us.

Short of that, how about the American Legion or a summer bowling league?

PILING ON CLIVEN BUNDY

For a few days, Nevada rancher Cliven Bundy was a heroic figure to a great many conservatives. Then, overnight, he became a pariah. There were some people, aside from Harry Reid, who suggested he should have to ring a little bell whenever he went out in public, and announce his presence by repeating, "Unclean! Unclean!"

Now I grant that there was no good reason for him to open his yap about the urban blacks residing in North Las Vegas, just

as there was no reason for those two Republican knuckleheads running for the Senate in 2012 to shoot off their mouths about rape.

But, aside from the fact that Mr. Bundy is not the most eloquent of speakers, what did he say that was so terrible? I mean, it's not as if he spent three years lying about our being able to keep our doctors and our health insurance just so he could shove his disgusting signature piece of legislation down our throats.

What Bundy said out loud is what most people think about millions of black people who, in spite of decades of Operation Head Start, Affirmative Action and billions of tax dollars squandered on welfare, are quite content to live off Uncle Sam, to continue voting the straight Democratic ticket and to encourage the next generation to follow suit. Are any of you self-righteous buffoons looking to lynch Mr. Bundy volunteering to drive into the hood tonight and ask for directions? Or stop for a beer? I thought not.

What Bundy was basically saying was that when you have a 71% rate of illegitimacy, a record number of abortions and more young men finding they'd rather peddle drugs than get an education or a job, you can't be too surprised at such dismal and heartbreaking results.

As for his politically incorrect use of the word "Negroes," we should all take a deep breath and realize that a great many black people not only use a far more repulsive term in their everyday speech, but that the "CP" in NAACP doesn't stand for "Cool People."

A reader let me know that a religious friend of his is convinced that people are all good at their core. I responded, "If I were to speak to her, I'd say that Christ and Moses, like the Founding Fathers, understood that man is not a perfect creature, and that is why the Golden Rule, the 10

Commandments and the Constitution, were so essential to mankind. Conservatives understand that we all need guide posts. It's only those on the Left who think that people are perfect, and that it's only, say, capitalism that needs to be reined in.

"So, assuming she's a conservative," I went on, "what makes her believe such malarkey? If parents don't teach a child that it's wrong to take another kid's toys, the child is very likely going to grow up to be a thief, if not something even worse.

"Heck, we see the results of a child being denied a father and a father's discipline every day in the black community. The point was made powerfully some years ago when there was an outbreak of violence on an African game preserve. Rhinos were being attacked and killed in large numbers. The game wardens couldn't figure out the cause of the butchery until they set up cameras in strategic locations and discovered that young elephants were running in a pack and killing the rhinos for fun.

"The problem was that poachers had killed off the bull elephants for their ivory tusks. The solution that the wardens came up with was to bring in a few bulls from outlying regions. The wardens then decided that the leader of the teenage gang was beyond redemption and shot him. But the other young elephants quickly fell into line once the "fathers" let them know how elephants were expected to behave."

I trust you understand that I am not tarring all blacks with the same brush. After all, we're all very much aware of such exemplary individuals as Clarence Thomas, Walter Williams, Thomas Sowell, Ben Carson, Star Parker, Allen West and Tim Scott. But there's no getting around the fact that 95% of blacks vote the straight Democratic ticket because the liberals have set themselves up as the slave masters who see to it that their able-bodied wards don't go hungry, while only

demanding that they express their gratitude by occasionally going out to vote, not require that they tote any barges or lift any bales.

That brings me to entitlements. We have been so brainwashed by the media and liberal politicians that we have actually come to refer to welfare as an entitlement. Entitled on what basis? That the recipient is still breathing? I understand that there are actual entitlements, but those are limited to pensions for veterans who risked their lives in defense of America and Social Security checks for those who worked and paid into the system for 40 or 50 years.

I'm sure there are those who will take offense at my stereotyping millions of people, but I contend that the word exists because stereotypes exist, or would you deny that, by and large, Asians are technologically advanced, that Germans are efficient, Russians drink an awful lot of vodka, that American teenagers suffer from excessive self-esteem and that Jews are argumentative?

Ha! I didn't think so.

**Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.
Tune in at K4HD.com His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443**

©2014 [Burt Prelutsky](http://BurtPrelutsky.com). Comments? Write BurtPrelutsky@aol.com.