

“The High Cost Of Cheap Labor” and “Talking Turkey About Turkey”

The only reason that Ronald Reagan signed the first amnesty bill back in 1986 wasn't really because the Democratic Congress promised to build a fence at the border. After all, he'd been around when the Democrats reneged on the promise made to Nixon that if he agreed to pull the troops out of Vietnam, they would continue to arm and fund the South Vietnamese. He did, and naturally they didn't.

The real reason Reagan signed the amnesty bill is because American business always wants the cheapest labor it can get its hands on. In the short term, it probably looks like a good deal all around. After all, the price of labor is always passed along to the consumer. However, in the long run it's a bad deal because most of those people are low wage earners, and the American citizen is eventually going to be stuck with the tab for their housing, health care, food stamps, schooling and, far too often, incarceration. In the end, we're all a lot better off paying an extra few cents for a head of lettuce or a few extra bucks for a meal.

But as bad as low-cost labor has been for America, I dare say it's even worse in Europe, where the interlopers tend to be Muslims, a group of people notorious for their refusal to acclimate, to accept the laws, let alone the culture and traditions of the nations they contaminate. They are the reason that virtually every capital city on the Continent has been turned into a pig sty. In London, rarely a week goes by when the Muslims aren't demonstrating in the streets, displaying their contempt for their host nation and everything she has come to represent, including freedom, the arts and religious tolerance.

America, which used to be the land of the free and the home of the brave, has morphed into a place where political correctness has turned most people into whimpering cowards. In spite of the First Amendment, most TV pundits were afraid to even mention that Trayvon Martin was a drug-dealing six-footer or that 300-pound Michael Brown was a thief and a bully who just happened to be high on marijuana when he confronted Officer Darren Wilson.

These days, we aren't even supposed to hold the mothers and occasional fathers of criminals even partially responsible for the end result. On the contrary, we're expected to treat the parents of teenage thugs with the same respect and deference that we bestow on the parents of military warriors who forfeit their lives for their country.

But, then, for the past 13 years, we have had two presidents, Dumb and Dumber, insisting that Islam is a religion of peace. As hard as it was to swallow that swill from George W. Bush, it has gotten far worse under his successor. It was Obama, after all, who not only announced with a straight face that Muslims played a major role in the creation of the United States, but that they were an essential part of our space exploration program. I suppose that last point has some merit. After all, if I were a NASA scientist, I'd have a real incentive to break the bounds of gravity, knowing that I and millions of others hoped and prayed that other planets could support human life. The reason being that it would provide an opportunity to leave the one that's home to 1.2 billion Muslims.

In the meantime, Barack Obama tries in vain to defuse public anger over his despotic decision to legislate from the Oval Office. His latest attempt took place in Chicago, where he announced yet again that our immigration policy has to be overhauled. The difference was that this time he said it wasn't merely to accommodate multi-millions of illegal Hispanics, but because there are plenty of people from Poland

and Ireland who are in this country illegally.

I used to gag every time Obama opened his mouth. Lately, though, I've been doing a lot more head scratching. Poland and Ireland are the basis of the problem? Was it possible that the sly puss was trying to woo members of those two major ethnic groups? Instead of demanding that the President abide by his constitutional limitations, were Irish and Polish Americans supposed to say to themselves, "Hey, if the son of a gun can prevent five million Latinos from being deported, maybe he'll keep my uncle from being sent back to (Warsaw) (Dublin) after he gets out of jail"?

Finally, I don't know what you call it when Barack Obama pardons a Thanksgiving turkey, but I call it professional courtesy.

Talking Turkey About Turkey

By what right is Turkey allowed to be a member of NATO? Under its current leader, Recep Erdogan, it has become more and more of a despotic Islamic nation. In recent months, it has not only denied the U.S. the use of its air force bases, but welcomed ISIS inside its borders so the terrorists could surround the Kurds and attack them from all sides. And does anyone seriously believe that Turkey would ever rush to defend any of its alleged NATO allies?

Speaking of turkeys, according to a PEW Poll, over 70% of blacks insist they are treated less fairly than whites by the police. My reaction was to wonder how the hell they know how whites are treated. I suspect that when it comes to criminals, you'd be hard pressed to find, to use Obama's favorite word, a smidgen of difference in the way those in either group are approached and arrested.

When it comes to law-abiding people, I suspect the behavior of

most cops is determined by the way people relate to them, which, I dare say, is a fairly typical human response. Like any white driver in America, I have been stopped on many occasions. Once in a while, I believed that I was entirely in the right and had not committed any vehicular sins, but I never insulted the officer, displayed contempt and, so far as I recall, never once tried to take his gun away.

Instead of devoting so much time and attention to a single incident in Ferguson, MO, wouldn't you think that Barack Obama and Eric Holder would concentrate on black thugs who commit, on a per capita basis, eight times as many murders as whites, especially when the majority of their victims also happen to be black?

Also, why is it front page news when a white cop shoots a black person, but when it's blacks killing, torturing and raping whites or, for that matter, other blacks, there is a news – you should excuse the expression – blackout?

Getting back to Ferguson, when you realize that there was every expectation that, one, the Grand Jury would not indict Darren Wilson; that, two, the local cops were told to back off and that the National Guard was not deployed on the streets; and that, three, everyone expected a riot to occur; why did County Prosecutor Robert McCulloch decide to release the Grand Jury's decision after nightfall? If the governor, the local police chief and Mr. McCulloch had sat down and choreographed the arson and vandalism, they couldn't have done more to ensure that the TV cameras would have every opportunity to record a race riot.

For years now, the Muslim world has been at war with America and the European democracies, but nobody in the civilized world will even acknowledge it. Instead, we try to cherry-pick the bad guys. We refer to Al Qaeda, the Taliban, ISIS, Hamas or Hezbollah, as if there is a speck of difference between them, other than what they call themselves. Partly it's

because George Bush insisted that Islam is a religion of peace, partly because Obama won't even refer to the violence consuming the globe as having its source in the evil cult, and because the major media is dedicated to the childish belief that the only truly evil people in the world are American conservatives.

As a result, there is a religious war taking place in the world, but, with the exception of Israel, only one side is waging it or will even acknowledge it's taking place.

In similar fashion, a race war is currently underway in America, but it is only being conducted by blacks. Only blacks are encouraged to ignore the facts about what took place in Ferguson and to pretend that a 300-pound thug was a cherubic altar boy. Only they get to insist that forensic evidence is a white plot. Only they get to pass off a scumbag like Al Sharpton as a civil rights leader with moral authority. Why is he given the key to the White House, but not, say, his white counterpart, the Grand Kleagle of the Ku Klux Klan?

It's not enough to blame the black thugs, including Eric Holder, who descended on Ferguson with no other purpose but to inflame a bad situation and to make it worse. One must also hold the feet of the media to the flames. I'm not referring to the TV cameras that at times out-numbered the barbarians in the streets, but to a media that patronizes blacks, at least so long as they're not Ben Carson, Condoleezza Rice, Allen West, Thomas Sowell, Jason Riley or Tim Scott, in which case they're dismissed as Uncle Toms, Aunt Jemimas and traitors to their race.

The media likes to label conservatives as racists, but that's clearly a case of the pot smokers calling the kettle black. What else but racist would you call it when journalists not only find endless excuses for soaring rates of black crime and illegitimate births, but blame white society for the millions of black men who refuse to marry the mothers of their children

or to help raise and provide for their sons and daughters?

How is it not racist to ignore the fact that in spite of billions of tax dollars having been spent in the hope of providing a ladder through education by which slum dwellers can climb out of poverty, half of young blacks fail to even bother graduating from high school?

There is no denying that racism exists in America, but nearly all of it resides in two groups: black bigots and white liberals.

On top of everything else, we are cursed with a president who any time there's a news item about a black person who has behaved inappropriately, automatically springs to their defense, always assuming the worst about white people in general and white police officers in particular.

When I saw the tawdry assemblage that Obama invited to the White House to discuss racial issues, a gathering that included notorious race hustler Al Sharpton and Obama's own version of Rasputin, Valerie Jarrett, it occurred to me that as groups go, even Amos 'n' Andy's Mystic Knights of the Sea and Ralph Kramden's Friendly Sons of the Raccoons had higher standards for inclusion.

Finally, just in case you don't think I've mentioned enough birdbrains to achieve my daily quota, let me hasten to add Robert Redford, who believes that our great outdoors should forever remain in the pristine state in which God created it, unless of course he decides to host a film festival for his Hollywood cronies in the midst of the Rockies. Last year, 46,000 of his nearest and dearest descended on Sundance, Utah, mainly in their fossil fuel-burning private jets, to watch movies.

In one of his typically self-serving, hypocritical, pronouncements, Redford recently declared, "I think the environment should be put in the category of our national

security. Defense of our resources is just as important as defense abroad. Otherwise, what is there to defend?"

A few things that occurred to me, but apparently not to Mr. Redford, include our borders, freedom, liberty, loved ones and the Constitution.

Burt's Webcast is every Wednesday at Noon Pacific Time.

Tune in at K4HD.com His Call-in Number is: (818) 570-5443

©2014 [Burt Prelutsky](http://BurtPrelutsky.com). Comments? Write BurtPrelutsky@aol.com.

Barack, Trayvon & Islam

Some people insist that racism no longer exists in America. Clearly, that isn't true. After all, Barack Obama once said that Trayvon Martin looked like the son he might have had. Inasmuch as Obama is half-white, can any of us imagine his making that statement if the 17-year-old who was known to be a dope-dealing thug had been a Caucasian? On the other hand, I suspect that when he gazes fondly at Eric Holder, the Attorney General who refuses to indict blacks for intimidating white voters, he sees himself with a little mustache.

This is the same Obama who, in spite of being ignorant of the facts, accused the Cambridge, MA, Police Department of "acting stupidly" for arresting Professor Henry Gates for disorderly conduct for no other reason than that Prof. Gates was black. As he said at the time: "There's a long history in this country of African-Americans and Latinos being stopped by law enforcement disproportionately. That's just a fact."

No mention of the fact, no matter how inconvenient it might be, that African-Americans and Latinos commit a

disproportionate number of crimes, particularly those of a violent nature.

That's not to say Obama never singles out a white criminal. Just recently, he was dismissive of the traitorous Edward Snowden, who had done everything in his power to weaken America's defenses and put a wedge between us and our allies, dismissing him as "a 29-year-old hacker."

When asked if he had made any attempt to have him extradited from China or Russia, Obama petulantly replied, "I haven't called President Xi. I haven't called President Putin. I shouldn't have to."

So even though he thought a disturbing the peace complaint against Gates was so important, he hosted a beer summit at the White House, he doesn't think dealing with a traitor guilty of espionage is worthy of his time. If a person weren't frightened of being called a racist, one could make the case that defending America and its national interests just doesn't rate very high on this jackass's list of priorities.

After all, nothing as trivial as our national security must interfere with his golf, his fund-raisers and his photo ops in Africa. One of which took place at an embarkation site for the slave boats, but naturally there was no mention of the all-important role played by the Arabs and Muslims in that vile world-wide activity.

Those pinheads such as John McCain who insist we get involved in the Syrian Civil War, which pits one group of blood-thirsty Islamics against another, insist they have the ability to distinguish the good guys from the bad guys in spite of the fact that during his surprise visit to Syria, a goofily-grinning McCain posed with three known jihadists. I keep wondering if the folks who'd handle the vetting process would be the same brainiacs who granted Bradley Manning and Edward Snowden their security clearance.

Perhaps they'd leave it up to Rep. Jim McDermott (D, WA) who opposed the FBI's posting photos of Most Wanted Terrorists because, he whined, it involved racial and religious profiling. On the other hand, this lamebrain who, along with Sen. Patty Murray, makes a laughingstock of Washington, considered the profiling and targeting of conservatives by the IRS a swell idea.

In England, Clive Hunt, 58, offered to pick up bacon sandwiches for a group of associates holding a business meeting. The friendly gesture got him canned because one of those at the meeting was Sharika Sacranie, 29, a Muslim.

The reason given by Hunt's employer was that the offer, no matter how innocently it may have been made, constituted "a racist remark."

I had two reactions. The first is that I bet he wouldn't have lost his job if the non-pork eater had been Jewish. The second is that this is a very clear snapshot of the new Europe, where seven years ago British Airways fired a clerk named Nadia Eweida because she refused to remove the cross from around her neck.

In the past, Muslims twice attempted to conquer Europe. The first time, Abdul Rahman Al Ghafiqi was defeated by Charles Martel at the Battle of Tours in 732. Eight hundred years later, Suleiman, while attempting to expand the Ottoman Empire, bit the dust at the Siege of Vienna.

But the third time, as is often the case, was the charm. This time, without swinging a saber or firing a shot, Islam took possession of the continent. All that was required of the Muslims was to wait for Europeans to defeat themselves through cowardice posturing as tolerance.

Today, Europe; tomorrow, America.

©2013 [Burt Prelutsky](#). Comments? Write BurtPrelutsky@aol.com.

The Conspiracy Theorist's Form of Blind Justice

✘ It doesn't surprise me that a lot people were disappointed by the acquittal of George Zimmerman – the Martin family in particular. They believed, as most parents would in the same situation, that the man who shot their 17 year-old child deserved to be held accountable in some meaningful way for the taking of their son's life.


I get that.

But expressing disappointment and sorrow is one thing. Claiming that *justice* wasn't served (as many have done over the past week) is another. Anyone who followed the trial with even an inkling of objectivity understands that there just weren't any grounds for convicting George Zimmerman of a crime. He wasn't found innocent due to a technicality. He wasn't found innocent because of a racist jury or an incompetent prosecution. He was found innocent because all available evidence of what happened on the night Trayvon Martin was killed pointed to a classic case of self-defense.

What I've found shocking is that for far too many people, that just doesn't seem to matter. The mere, unsubstantiated presumption that George Zimmerman is a racist has been grounds for disregarding practically everything that actually happened that tragic night. There was a real hope for Zimmerman to be convicted and sent off to prison, and it wasn't because of anything that was presented during the case. It was because of the prejudicial thoughts that *might* have been running through Zimmerman's mind when he first spotted Trayvon Martin walking through his neighborhood.

So when I listen to people call Florida an “apartheid state”, propose an economic boycott, and remark that it’s *open season* for killing black teenagers there, it seems to me that “justice” is the very last thing on their minds.

Clinging to a false conclusion, and doing so by willfully ignoring all evidence and facts that negate that conclusion more resembles a conspiracy theory than it does an earnest quest for justice. Like a conspiracy theory, the passion behind it isn’t fueled so much by the facts of the event, but rather by the general mistrust of a powerful establishment – in this case, what is perceived as the *white establishment* in this country. And when that mistrust is so deeply rooted, there’s always an eagerness by certain individuals to attribute heinous acts to it, without factoring fairness or accuracy into the equation.

In this case, Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson (among others) are those individuals. When you think about it, they aren’t so different than Alex Jones and Jesse Ventura: A pair of opportunistic nuts whose public relevance relies on the fanning of conspiratorial flames. Unfortunately, Sharpton and Jackson have more influence. 

I would love for someone to try and make the case to me that associating Trayvon Martin’s name with self-serving charlatans somehow pays respect to the boy’s memory. Because as far as I can tell, all it’s done is make the “Justice for Trayvon” movement as shallow of a call to action as the 9/11 Truther movement’s call for “truth.”

It’s a rhetorical crusade driven by its own form of prejudice.

Who exactly is being served by that crusade? Certainly not the Martin family, and certainly not the African American community.

The New Meaning of Justice

✘ Since the day Trayvon Martin was shot, all I've heard are screams for "justice." What exactly does that mean?

According to my dictionary, justice means, "n. 1.a. The principle of moral rightness; equity. b. Conformity to moral rightness in action or attitude; righteousness. 2. The upholding of what is just, esp. fair treatment and due reward in accordance to honor, standards, or law; fairness. 3. Something that is just or due. 4. The quality ofr being just, fair, or impartial. 5. Conformity to truth, fact or sound reason. The administration and procedure of law.

After the George Zimmerman verdict came down on Saturday, there were plenty of people tweeting about the verdict, among them lots of celebrities. There were demonstrations all over the country and, according to news reports today, vigils are scheduled all over the country to demand "justice for Trayvon."

So, based on the cries for justice after the verdict, I think a few new definitions should be added to our dictionaries.

Justice:

1. Whatever any one person wants or thinks justice should be.
2. A jury verdict which should be ignored by anyone who doesn't like it even though said jury sat through an entire trial, observed witnesses testify, saw the evidence, listened to arguments from both sides, was instructed by a judge as to the relevant law, reviewed all the evidence and applied the law to reach said verdict.

3. A person's personal determination of what the truth is even though not a witness to the occurrence or part of a jury or even present during the entire courtroom proceeding including jury deliberations.

4. Ignoring the rule of law and making stupid statements like those made by professional poverty pimp, Al Sharpton (who also wasn't witness to the occurrence, part of the jury or even present during the entire courtroom proceeding including jury deliberations and who, if you remember, helped perpetuate the false allegations made by Tawana Brawley in 1987), "The acquittal of George Zimmerman is a slap in the face to the American people but it is only the first round in the pursuit of justice. We intend to ask the Department of Justice to move forward as they did in the Rodney King case and we will closely monitor the civil case against Mr. Zimmerman. I will convene an emergency call with preachers tonight to discuss next steps and I intend to head to Florida in the next few days."

5. Making such demands on the Department of Justice even though

previously filed FBI documents show agents had not turned up any accounts that Zimmerman, before the February 2012 shooting, exhibited racial bias.

6. Rejecting our legal system by the likes of actress Sophia Bush who tweeted, "The wind is more than [knocked out of me](#)... My heart aches for this boy's family. Justice System? I don't think so. #justicefortrayvon."

7. Playing the race card whenever it's convenient as in the case of actor and comedian Steve Harvey who tweeted, "A [Child is Dead](#) & The Man that Killed Him is Free & Again The Child is Black...My Country Tis of Thee?"

8. Being outraged following the George Zimmerman verdict yet remaining silent about the black-on-black murder rate

in Chicago and elsewhere throughout the United States. According to what I read today, in the 513 days between the Martin-Zimmerman incident and the verdict, an astounding 11,106 blacks have been murdered by other blacks.

9. Making race the focus of the Zimmerman trial, as the media did, while ignoring and failing to report on the trial of late-term abortionist Kermit Gosnell and his killing of innocent black babies.

I know my memory isn't the greatest, but I really can't recall any nationwide protests or vigils after O.J. Simpson was acquitted of killing Nicole Simpson and Ron Goldman. How come we didn't hear demands on the Department of Justice to file civil rights' violations against O.J. Simpson? Why wasn't the race card played after the verdict by white people demanding "justice for Nicole and Ron"? No one is ever going to convince me that O.J. didn't kill Nicole and Ron, but I wasn't out there demanding "justice" and ignoring the jury verdict. Everyone accepted the verdicts and moved on.

Bottom line on the definition of "justice": Even if you don't know what happened, if you think or believe someone is innocent and they're acquitted, justice was served; if you think or believe someone is guilty and they're acquitted, justice wasn't served. Unfortunately, justice, like beauty, is in the eye of the beholder.

I don't get it, but if you do, God bless you.

Zimmerman is Not Guilty –

What About the Media?

✘ There are certain things polite people are not supposed to say out loud, and certainly not in public. I thought of this while listening to what passes for television analysis after the George Zimmerman verdict came in.

First, let's acknowledge that Trayvon Martin's death is a tragedy. I'll go so far as to say it was a tragedy that should never have happened. I think George Zimmerman, the "wannabe cop," was too vigilant that night.

I also think George Zimmerman profiled Trayvon Martin – and profiled him because he was a young black man in a predominantly white neighborhood. But what polite people don't do in public is talk about *why* George Zimmerman was suspicious of 17-year old Trayvon Martin.

The morning after the verdict came in, Ben Jealous, who heads the NAACP, told Bob Schieffer on Face the Nation that, "Too many people in our country use color as grounds for suspicion."

He's right. But what he didn't get into is that they do it for a reason. And Bob Schieffer, a white man from Texas, wasn't about to press the head of the NAACP about why skin color might be grounds for suspicion.

The sad fact is that while African Americans make up only about 12 or 13 percent of the population, and young black men considerably less than that, they commit a disproportionate amount of crime. This is no secret. Everybody knows it's true, even anchormen and other white liberals. So when Zimmerman saw this black kid in his mostly white, gated community, he did some quick, reflexive calculations – and thought the boy might be up to no good. Yes, he did use color as a ground for suspicion.

That doesn't make Trayvon Martin a criminal, of course, but it also doesn't make George Zimmerman a racist. He had the same thoughts about Trayvon Martin that most of us would have had, whether we admit it or not.

If I were black I wouldn't like this stereotyping. I'd say Trayvon Martin had as much right to be inside the gates of that community as George Zimmerman did. He shouldn't have been looked upon as a potential criminal.

But he was looked upon with suspicion because, as painful as it is to acknowledge, he looked like too many other black kids in hoodies who do bad things. Black people know this better than anyone else. They're the victims of most black crime. Remember what Jesse Jackson said several years back. "There is nothing more painful to me at this stage in my life than to walk down the street and hear footsteps and start thinking about robbery. Then look around and see somebody white and feel relieved." Does that make the Reverend Jackson a racist – or a realist?

Television host Tavis Smiley, who also is black, was also on television Sunday morning. He told George Stephanopoulos on ABC's This Week, "For many Americans [the verdict] is another piece of evidence of the incontrovertible contempt that this nation often shows and displays for black men."

Stephanopoulos, being a polite white liberal man, of course didn't say, "Black men are doing a pretty good job of showing incontrovertible contempt for each other in our nation. Have you checked the crime statistics for Chicago on any given weekend? The Ku Klux Klan isn't killing those young black men. Black thugs are."

So-called civil rights leaders, like Al Sharpton, painted a picture of a black teenager walking home and being attacked by a white vigilante for absolutely no reason except that the boy was black – and, of course, because the shooter was sort of

white. If Sharpton and the others talked about the fight that immediately led up to the shooting, I missed it. Instead, they preferred to peddle a fairy tale version of what happened. They left out the ugly stuff – that there was plenty of reason to believe Trayvon Martin was on top of George Zimmerman pummeling his head into the concrete sidewalk right before Zimmerman fired his gun. And, of course, none of the mostly white, mostly liberal anchors, said, “Hold on, you’re leaving something very important out of your story.”

This is what is known as showing good racial manners. It’s how white people behave to show that they’re not bigots like all those other white people. Never mind that treating black people like delicate flowers is a kind of soft bigotry all by itself. All that matters is that white people – white liberals, mostly – feel better ... about themselves.

Another recurring theme coming from liberal analysts, black and white, after the verdict was that in America we don’t put the same value on black lives as we do on white lives. That’s certainly true when it comes to the liberal media.

Every weekend in big cities across America numerous black kids shoot and often kill each other. None of these stories get very much national media attention and none of them get wall-to-wall TV coverage the way the Zimmerman trial did. Is this because liberal white journalists don’t put the same value on black lives as they do on white lives? It sure looks that way.

Let’s end by stating the obvious: The single biggest reason the trial got so much attention is because it played into a narrative, a false one to be sure, but one that many blacks and many white liberals love to perpetuate. It’s the Great American Drama about how white people get away with not only oppressing black people, but even get away with killing them. Isn’t that what Tavis Smiley meant when he said, ““For many Americans [the verdict] is another piece of evidence of the

incontrovertible contempt that this nation often shows and displays for black men.”

Someone needs to tell Mr. Smiley, the Reverend Sharpton, the civil rights establishment and white liberals both in and out of the media that we are no longer living in 1955 Mississippi. If there is a crime involving two races today, the victim is most likely going to be white and the criminal is most likely going to be black – not the other way around. That may be one more thing polite people aren't supposed to say out loud – and certainly not in public.